

## 14. On a Characteristic Property of Completely Normal Spaces

By Takeshi INOKUMA

Gunma University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 18, 1955)

Let  $H$  be a topological space. We shall consider the following condition:

(V) For any two subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of  $H$ , there exist two closed sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that

$$(1) \quad H = H_1 \cup H_2$$

$$(2) \quad H_1 \cap H_2 \cap (\bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2) = \bar{X}_1 \cap \bar{X}_2$$

$$(3) \quad \bar{X}_i \subset H_i, \quad i=1, 2.$$

Here the bar means the closure operation.

As is well known, the metrizable of  $H$  implies (V) and (V) implies the normality of  $H$ . However it seems that a closer relation between (V) and the separation axioms has not been given in the literature.<sup>1)</sup>

The object of this note is to show that a topological space  $H$  is completely normal if and only if it satisfies the condition (V).

1. First we shall prove

**Lemma 1.** The conditions (1), (2), and (3) imply (1), (3), and (2') below:

$$(2') \quad H_i \cap (\bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2) \subset \bar{X}_i, \quad i=1, 2,$$

and conversely.

*Proof.* It is obvious that (1), (2'), and (3) imply (2); we have only to prove that (1), (2), and (3) imply (2'). Since  $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap (\bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2) \cap \bar{X}_2 = \bar{X}_1 \cap \bar{X}_2 \cap \bar{X}_2$  by (2), we have  $H_1 \cap \bar{X}_2 = \bar{X}_1 \cap \bar{X}_2$  by (3). Therefore  $(H_1 \cap \bar{X}_2) \cup \bar{X}_1 = (\bar{X}_1 \cap \bar{X}_2) \cup \bar{X}_1$ , and hence  $H_1 \cap (\bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2) \subset \bar{X}_1$ . Similarly we have  $H_2 \cap (\bar{X}_1 \cup \bar{X}_2) \subset \bar{X}_2$ .

Next we shall recall the definition of completely normal spaces; a topological space  $H$  is said to be completely normal if the following condition is satisfied:

For any two subsets  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  of  $H$  such that

$$(4) \quad \bar{Y}_1 \cap Y_2 = Y_1 \cap \bar{Y}_2 = 0,$$

---

1) Cf. A. D. Wallace: Dimensional types, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **51**, 679-681 (1945). Indeed, he said in his paper "the validity of (V) is a well-known property of metric spaces but we have no reference to its formulation in the literature as an axiom".