

114. On B -covers and the Notion of Independence in Lattices

By Yataro MATSUSHIMA

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1957)

Introduction. In [3], L. M. Kelley has introduced the concept of B -covers as metric-between in a normed lattice. We have extended this notion to the case of general lattices in [4] and studied the geometries in lattices by means of B -covers and B^* -covers in [5]. In the first section of this paper we shall show that the relation "relative modularity" or "relative independence" which is derived from Wilcox [1] has a close connection with the J -cover or the CJ -cover which is a part of the B -cover in lattices. In the second section we shall consider the relations between the B -covers and independent sets in lattices.

For any two elements a, b of a lattice L , we shall define as follows.

$J(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x) = x, x \in L\}$, $CJ(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x, x \in L\}$. $J(a, b)$ is called the J -cover of a and b , and if $x \in J(a, b)$, then we shall write $J(axb)$. Similarly we shall define CJ -cover and $CJ(axb)$.

$B(a, b) = J(a, b) \wedge CJ(a, b)$ is called the B -cover of a and b and we shall write axb when $x \in B(a, b)$ (cf. [4, 5]).

1. Relative modular pairs and J -covers (CJ -covers). Following L. R. Wilcox [1], (a, b) is called a modular pair when $x \leq b$ implies $(x \vee a) \wedge b = x \vee (a \wedge b)$, and in this case we write $(a, b)M$. In [5] we defined a relative modular pair $(a, b)M^*$ to be a pair (a, b) such that $a \wedge b \leq x \leq b$ implies $(x \vee a) \wedge b = x \vee (a \wedge b)$.

B -covers treat "between" in lattices (cf. [4, 5]), while J -covers and CJ -covers may be considered as describing "semi-between" in lattices.

In the following L is always assumed to be a lattice.

Lemma 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent in case $b' \leq b$:*

- (a) $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b$. $((b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b')$.
- (b) $J(abb')$ ($CJ(ab'b)$).

Proof. If $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b$, then we have $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge b') = (a \wedge b) \vee b' = b$, that is $J(abb')$. Conversely if $J(abb')$, then we have $b = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge b') \leq b \wedge (a \vee b') \leq b$, and hence we have $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b = b' \vee (a \wedge b)$. Similarly we can treat the other case.

Theorem 1.1. *If $J(abb')$ (resp. $CJ(ab'b)$) holds for any b' with $b' \leq b$ then we have $(a, b)M$.*