

110. On Determination of the Class of Saturation in the Theory of Approximation of Functions

By Gen-ichiro SUNOUCHI and Chinami WATARI

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 13, 1958)

1. **Introduction.** Let $f(x)$ be an integrable function, with period 2π and let its Fourier series be

$$(1) \quad \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x).$$

Let $g_k(n)$ $k=1, 2, \dots$ be the summing function and consider a family of transforms of (1) of a summability method G ,

$$(2) \quad P_n(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(n)(a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$$

where the parameter n needs not be discrete.

If there are a positive non-increasing function $\varphi(n)$ and a class K of functions in such a way that

(I) $\|f(x) - P_n(x)\| = o(\varphi(n))^{1)}$ implies $f(x) = \text{constant}$;

(II) $\|f(x) - P_n(x)\| = O(\varphi(n))$ implies $f(x) \in K$;

(III) for every $f(x) \in K$, one has $\|f(x) - P_n(x)\| = O(\varphi(n))$,

then it is said that the method of summation G is saturated with order $\varphi(n)$ and its class of saturation is K . This definition is due to J. Favard [2].

The purpose of this article is to determine the order and the class of saturation for several familiar summation methods. M. Zamansky [5] has solved this problem for the method of Cesàro-Fejér, with respect to the space (C) of continuous functions; P. L. Butzer [1] studied the cases of methods of Abel-Poisson and Gauss-Weierstrass, employing the theory of semi-groups, but, as he made use of the regularity of the spaces (L^p) $p > 1$, he left the question open for the spaces (C) and (L) .

We give here a direct method to determine the class of saturation for general method of summability, with respect to the spaces (C) and (L^p) $p \geq 1$. The above condition (I) is easily verified and the condition (III) is proved by so-called singular integral method. The inverse problem (II) is the key point of this paper.

2. **The inverse problem.** Let us write $\Delta_n(x) = f(x) - P_n(x)$ and suppose that there are positive constants c, r and ρ such that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^r (1 - g_k(n)) = ck^{\rho} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots).$$

1) The norm means (C) - or (L^p) -($p \geq 1$) norm.

2) To fix the ideas, we take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$; but, as is easily seen, the following arguments remain valid, with appropriate modifications, in other cases (see Theorem 2 below).