

27. On the mod p Hopf Invariant

By Tsuneyo YAMANOSHITA

Department of Mathematics, Musashi Institute of Technology, Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., March 12, 1960)

J. F. Adams [1] has proved that there is no element of Hopf invariant one in $\pi_{2n-1}(S^n)$ ($n \geq 16$).

In other words, his result may be expressed as follows:

If $p=2$, mod p Hopf invariant homomorphism

$$H_p: \pi_{m+n-1}(S^m) \rightarrow Z_p, \quad n=2t(p-1)$$

is trivial for $t \geq p^3$.

In case of mod p (p : odd prime), we have the following

Theorem 1. *If p is an odd prime, the mod p Hopf invariant homomorphism is trivial for $t \geq p$.*

The special case of this theorem, corresponding to $t=p$ was proved by Toda [2].

We shall adopt the definition of the stable secondary cohomology operation of Adams [1]. Then we have a similar result to the theorem of Adams [1] on Sq^{2^k} ($k \geq 4$).

Theorem 2. *\mathcal{P}^{p^k} ($k \geq 1$) can be represented in the form $\sum a_i \Phi_i$ where Φ_i are stable secondary cohomology operations and a_i are elements of Steenrod algebra with positive degrees.*

Theorem 1 is easily deduced from Theorem 2. The special case of Theorem 2 for $k=1$ was also proved by Toda [2, 3].

We shall denote the Steenrod algebra over Z_p by A and denote the A free module with the symbolic base $[c(\mathcal{A})]$, $[c(\mathcal{P}^1)]$, \dots , $[c(\mathcal{P}^{p^k})]$ by C_1^k ($k \geq 0$). Moreover, define the element $z_{-1,k}$ ($k \geq 1$) of C_1^k as follows:

$$z_{-1,k} = c(\mathcal{A})[c(\mathcal{P}^{p^k})] - c(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}^{p^{k-1}})[c(\mathcal{P}^1)] - c(\mathcal{P}^{p^k})[c(\mathcal{A})],$$

where \mathcal{A} is the Bockstein operator associated with the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow Z_{p^2} \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow 0$ and c is the conjugacy operation [2]. Let d be the A -homomorphism of C_1^k into $A=C_0$ such that $d[c(\mathcal{A})] = c(\mathcal{A})$, $d[c(\mathcal{P}^{p^i})] = c(\mathcal{P}^{p^i})$, $i=0, 1, \dots, k$. Then $z_{-1,k}$ is a d -cycle, i.e. $d(z_{-1,k}) = 0$. The stable secondary cohomology operation associated with $(d, z_{-1,k})$ will be denoted with $\Phi_{z_{-1,k}}$. This is uniquely determined [1, Theorem 3]. Let ε be the augmentation (A -homomorphism) of A into $H^+(X, Z_p) = \sum_{i>0} H^i(X, Z_p)$ which maps A free base 1 into an element u of $H^q(X, Z_p)$. Then we have $\varepsilon d = 0$, if $u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^k \text{Ker } c(\mathcal{P}^{p^i}) \cap \text{Ker } c(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^k \text{Ker } \mathcal{P}^{p^i} \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$, in which case $\Phi_{z_{-1,k}}(u)$ is defined.

Consider the effect of $\Phi_{z_{-1,k}}$ for element $y^{p^{k+1}n}$ in $H^{2p^{k+1}n}(P, Z_p)$, where P is infinite dimensional complex projective space and y is a