No. 5]

## 65. Adjoint Space and Dual Space

By Toshio SHIBATA

Tokyo University of Education
(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 19, 1960)

1. Introduction. Concerning the relation between a locally convex space E and its dual space E' (the totality of continuous linear functionals), the conditions of the semi-reflexivity, the reflexivity, etc. are well known, under the foundation of Mackey's theorem (for ex. [1]). On the other hand, as a space of linear functionals on E, there is a method to consider its  $adjoint\ space\ \overline{E}$  (the totality of linear functionals which are bounded on each bounded set in E) and the condition of reflexivity is known [2].

In this paper, we consider the relation between the adjoint space  $\overline{E}$  and the dual space E' of a locally convex separative space E, especially, we give a theorem of Mackey's type with respect to the adjoint space (Theorem 1).

For a locally convex separative topology T of a linear space E, its adjoint space and its dual space are denoted by  $(\overline{E};T)$  and (E;T)', respectively. Two locally convex topologies  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of E are said to be equivalent with respect to bounded set, when the concepts of the boundedness under  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are identical, and this equivalence is denoted by  $T_1 \stackrel{b}{\sim} T_2$ .

2. A theorem of Mackey's type. Let (E,F) be a separative dual system of two linear spaces. The necessary and sufficient condition that a locally convex separative topology T on E is compatible with the dual system (E,F), that is, (E;T)'=F, is that T is stronger than the topology  $\sigma(E,F)$  and weaker than the Mackey's topology  $\tau(E,F)$  (theorem of Mackey). The following theorem is one of this type concerning the adjoint space.

THEOREM 1. Let (E, F) be a separative dual system of two linear spaces. The necessary and sufficient condition that F is the adjoint space of E with a locally convex separative topology T on E, or  $(\overline{E}; T) = F$  symbolically, is that:

- 1.  $T \stackrel{b}{\sim} \sigma(E, F)$
- 2. the Mackey's topology  $\tau(E, F)$  is bornologic.

Proof. Necessity. We denote by  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the totality of bounded sets under the topology T, and consider a topology  $T_0$  on E defined by all disks which absorb each bounded set under the topology T.  $T_0$  is