

114. On the Cosine Problem

By Miyoko UCHIYAMA, *née* KATAYAMA, and Saburō UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidō University, Sapporo, Japan

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1960)

1. Introduction. The main object of the present note is to establish the following theorem, which will answer in the affirmative to the cosine problem proposed by S. Chowla in connexion with a question concerning zeta functions (cf. [1]):

Theorem 1. *Let K be an arbitrary positive number. Then there exists a natural number $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n we have*

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} (\cos m_1 x + \cos m_2 x + \dots + \cos m_n x) < -K.$$

Here we may take

$$(1) \quad n_0(K) = \max(2^{48}, [8K^2]^{3[256K^4]}),$$

which is, of course, not the best possible.

As a simple generalization of Theorem 1 we can prove also that, given a real number $K > 0$, there is an $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n we have

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \cos(m_j x + \omega_j) < -K,$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ are arbitrary real numbers, and in particular,

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \sin m_j x < -K, \quad \max_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \sin m_j x > K.$$

Thus Theorem 1 is a special case of the following

Theorem 2. *Let G be a locally compact connected abelian group. Given a real number $K > 0$, we can find an $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct characters $\chi_1(x), \chi_2(x), \dots, \chi_n(x)$ on G we have*

$$\inf_{x \text{ in } G} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_j(x) < -K,$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are arbitrary complex numbers with $|c_j| \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

For instance, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are arbitrary distinct positive real numbers, where $n \geq n_0$, then we have

$$\inf_{x \text{ real}} (\cos \lambda_1 x + \cos \lambda_2 x + \dots + \cos \lambda_n x) < -K.$$

2. Some lemmas. In order to prove the theorems we appeal to a technique by P. J. Cohen [2] developed in the investigation of a different problem, and so, to avoid ambiguity, we shall here reproduce some of his lemmas given in [2] with a slight modification.