

## 122. Further Measure-Theoretic Results in Curve Geometry

By Kanetsiro ISEKI

Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Nov. 13, 1961)

1. **Extension of a previous result.** The final theorem of our recent note [4] was only given a sketched proof. We shall now prove it completely, extending it at the same time to the following form which is slightly more general.

**THEOREM.** *If  $I$  is an interval (of any type) on which a curve  $\varphi$ , situated in  $R^m$ , is both continuous and rectifiable, then  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi; E) = \Gamma(\varphi; E)$  for any subset  $E$  of  $I$  whatsoever.*

**PROOF.** We may clearly suppose  $I$  an endless interval, so that  $\varphi$  is continuous at all points of  $I$ . Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{R}$  the class of all the Borel sets  $X \subset I$  fulfilling the relation  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi; X) = \Gamma(\varphi; X)$ , and by  $\mathfrak{M}$  the class of all convex subsets of  $I$ . Each set ( $\mathfrak{M}$ ) being then either void, or a one-point set, or an interval, we see as at the end of [4] that the class  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains  $\mathfrak{M}$ . As may be readily verified further,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a primitive class in  $I$  (see p. 116 of our paper [1] for the terminology). In other words,  $\mathfrak{M}$  satisfies the following three conditions: (i) the interval  $I$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; (ii) if  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then  $AB \in \mathfrak{M}$ ; (iii) if  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ , there is a disjoint infinite sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  of sets ( $\mathfrak{M}$ ) such that  $I - A = [\mathcal{A}]$ . Consequently, in conformity with Theorem 1 of [1], the smallest additive class (in  $I$ ) containing the class  $\mathfrak{M}$  coincides with the smallest normal class containing  $\mathfrak{M}$  (see Saks [7], p. 83, for the terminology). But, taking into account the rectifiability of  $\varphi$  on  $I$ , we find easily that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a normal class. It follows at once that  $\mathfrak{R}$  coincides with the Borel class in  $I$ , so that our assertion holds at least whenever  $E$  is a Borel subset of  $I$ .

Let us turn now to the case of general  $E$ . As it will follow from the lemma to be soon established below, we can enclose  $E$  in a Borel set  $E_0 \subset I$  such that  $\Gamma(\varphi; E) = \Gamma(\varphi; E_0)$ . Since  $\Gamma(\varphi; E_0) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi; E_0)$  by what has already been proved, we obtain  $\Gamma(\varphi; E) \geq \mathcal{E}(\varphi; E)$ . This, combined with the lemma of [4]§2, gives finally  $\Gamma(\varphi; E) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi; E)$ .

**LEMMA.** *If a curve  $\varphi$  is continuous at all points of a set  $E$ , we can enclose  $E$  in a set  $H$  of the class  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\Gamma(\varphi; H) = \Gamma(\varphi; E)$ .*

**PROOF.** We may plainly assume  $\Gamma(\varphi; E)$  finite. To simplify our notations, let us write  $\Phi(X) = d(\varphi[X])$  for each set  $X$ . Given any natural number  $n$ , the set  $E$  has an expression as the join of an infinite sequence  $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle X_1^{(n)}, X_2^{(n)}, \dots \rangle$  of its subsets such that  $d(X_i^{(n)}) < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_n) < \Gamma(\varphi; E) + \varepsilon$ , where and below we write  $\varepsilon = n^{-1}$