

15. On Absolute Summability Factors of Infinite Series

By E. C. DANIEL

Department of Mathematics, University of Jabalpur, India

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1964)

1. *Definitions and Notations.* Let s_n denote the n -th partial sum of a given infinite series $\sum a_n$. We write

$$t_n = \frac{1}{L_n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} s_\nu,$$

where
$$L_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} \sim \log n, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We say that the series $\sum a_n$ is absolutely summable $\left(R, \frac{1}{n}\right)$, or summable $\left[R, \frac{1}{n}\right]$, if the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is of bounded variation,¹⁾ that is, the series $\sum |t_n - t_{n+1}|$ is convergent. It may be observed that this method of summability is equivalent to the absolute summability method defined by means of the auxiliary sequence

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} s_\nu$$

known as the Riesz logarithmic mean of $\{s_n\}$.³⁾

A sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is said to be convex⁴⁾ if

$$\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta^2(\lambda_n) \geq 0, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\Delta^2(\lambda_n) = \Delta(\Delta \lambda_n) = \Delta \lambda_n - \Delta \lambda_{n+1}$$

and

$$\Delta \lambda_n = \Delta(\lambda_n) = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}.$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic increasing sequence such that

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We write

$$A_\lambda(\omega) = A_\lambda^0(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \omega} a_n,$$

and, for $r > 0$,

$$A_\lambda^r(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \omega} (\omega - \lambda_n)^r a_n = r \int_0^\omega (\omega - \tau)^{r-1} A_\lambda(\tau) d\tau.$$

For $r \geq 0$, we write

$$R_\lambda^r(\omega) = A_\lambda^r(\omega) / \omega^r.$$

$\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (R, λ_n, r) , or summable

1) Symbolically $\{t_n\} \in BV$.

2) This can be easily seen by virtue of Lemma 3 of Iyer's paper [4], which states that the sequence $\{\omega_n\} \equiv \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) / \log n \right\}$ is of bounded variation, when we note that ω_n is strictly positive for $n \geq 2$.

3) Hardy [3], § 4.16.

4) Zygmund [8], p. 58.