

## 98. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. II

By Yoshinari ARAI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1965)

The purpose of this paper is to present the proofs of equivalences of some postulate sets for two valued propositional calculus. In this paper we use the well known technique in the propositional calculus of J. Lukasiewicz (For the details, see J. Lukasiewicz [1]). We shall prove that if we adopt the rule of procedure ordinarily used in the propositional calculus, i.e. the rule of substitution and the rule of detachment adjusted to the implication, then any thesis is a consequence of the following three axioms:

- 1  $CCpqCCqrCpr,$
- 2  $CpCNpq,$
- 3  $CCNpqCCqpp.$

J. Lukasiewicz derived from the axiom system, given by him in 1924, thesis 3 of our axiom system. We shall now proceed to prove thesis 2 of his axiom system in 1924, i.e.  $CCNppp$ , from the adopted postulate sets.

Then the proofs may be recognized that our axiom system consisting of theses 1, 2, and 3 would suffice to build the propositional calculus, in doing so we shall confine ourselves to the three axioms and the two rules of inference given above.

The proofs will be carried out in the complete form without any gaps. Every derived thesis will have its number and will be preceded by a proof line.

Using the rules of procedure we can prove from the adopted axioms:

- 1  $CCpqCCqrCpr,$
- 2  $CpCNpq,$
- 3  $CCNpqCCqpp,$

the following theses:

- 1  $p/Cpq, q/CCqrCpr, r/s * C1-4,$
- 4  $CCCCqrCprsCCpqs.$   
4  $s/CCCprsCCqrs * C1 p/Cqr, q/Cpr, r/s-5,$
- 5  $CCpqCCCprsCCqrs.$   
4  $q/Cqr, r/Csr, s/CCsqCpCsr * C4 p/s, s/CpCsr-6,$
- 6  $CCpCqrCCsqCpCsr.$   
6  $p/Cpq, q/CCprs, r/CCqrs, s/t * C5-7,$
- 7  $CCtCCprsCCpqCtCCqrs.$