

### 204. Decompositions of Generalized Algebras. II

By F. M. SIOSON

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 13, 1965)

**Theorem 3.** *Every genalgebra  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle G, o_1, \dots, o_n, A \rangle$  with finitary operations is isomorphic with a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible genalgebras.*

**Proof.** Consider arbitrary elements  $x, y \in G, a, b \in A$  such that  $x \neq y$  and  $a \neq b$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(x, y; a, b)$  be the family of all reduced congruences  $(\theta, \varphi)$  of  $\mathcal{L}(x, y; a, b)$  such that

$$(x, y) \notin \theta \text{ and } (a, b) \notin \varphi.$$

Since  $(\Delta_G, \Delta_A) \in \mathcal{L}(x, y; a, b)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(x, y; a, b) \neq \emptyset$ . It is partially ordered and every linearly ordered subset of it possesses an upper bound given by its join. Hence, by Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{L}(x, y; a, b)$  has a maximal element  $(\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab})$ . To show that the quotient genalgebra

$$\mathfrak{S}/(\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab}) = \langle G/\theta_{xy}, o_1, \dots, o_n, A/\varphi_{ab} \rangle$$

is subdirectly irreducible, it suffices to show that it has no proper reduced congruences and hence no proper congruences. If it does possess proper reduced congruences, let  $(\tilde{\theta}_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in A$ ) be the family of all reduced congruences in  $\mathfrak{S}/(\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab})$ . By Theorem C each such congruence  $(\tilde{\theta}_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda)$  corresponds to a reduced congruence  $(\theta_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that

$$(\theta_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda) \supseteq (\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab}).$$

Clearly,  $\theta_\lambda \supseteq \theta_{xy}$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ ; for, if  $\theta_\lambda = \theta_{xy}$ , then  $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_{ab}$ , since both congruences are reduced. Thus we have  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \theta_\lambda \supseteq \theta_{xy}$  and in any case

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} (\theta_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda) \supseteq (\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab}).$$

The reduction

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} (\theta_\lambda, \varphi)$$

of the congruence on the left side must properly contain the congruence on the right side; for, if  $\varphi \subsetneq \varphi_{ab}$ , then

$$\left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \theta_\lambda, \varphi \right) \cap (\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab}) = \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \theta_\lambda \cap \theta_{xy}, \varphi \cap \varphi_{xy} \right) = (\theta_{xy}, \varphi)$$

contrary to the fact that  $(\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab})$  is reduced. Whence the genalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}/(\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab})$  is subdirectly irreducible. Obviously,

$$\bigcap_{x \neq y} \bigcap_{a \neq b} (\theta_{xy}, \varphi_{ab}) = \left( \bigcap_{x \neq y} \theta_{xy}, \bigcap_{a \neq b} \varphi_{ab} \right) = (\Delta_G, \Delta_A)$$

and therefore the final conclusion follows.

**Theorem 4.** *The necessary and sufficient conditions for a genalgebra  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle G, o_1, \dots, o_n, A \rangle$  to be isomorphic to a direct product of genalgebras  $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \langle G_\lambda, o_1^\lambda, \dots, o_n^\lambda, A_\lambda \rangle$  ( $\lambda \in A$ ) are that (1) there exists*