

153. Another Proof of Two Decomposition Theorems of Semigroups

By Takayuki TAMURA and J. SHAFER

University of California, Davis

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1966)

1. **Introduction.** One of the early decomposition theorems for semigroups was given by David McLean [2] and may be stated as follows:

Theorem. *An idempotent semigroup S has a greatest semilattice decomposition into rectangular bands.*

In his proof McLean defines a relation σ on S by

$$a\sigma b \text{ if and only if } aba=a \text{ and } bab=b$$

σ is then shown to be the smallest semilattice congruence (abbr. s -congruence) on S . That is, S/σ is a semilattice, and if S/σ' is a semilattice then $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$. The most difficult part of this proof is in showing the transitivity of σ . We will give another proof based on the concept of "content" of a semigroup and a theorem of T. Tamura [4]. Finally we will give another proof of the following theorem of T. Tamura and N. Kimura [3].

Theorem. *A commutative semigroup S has a greatest semilattice decomposition into archimedean semigroups.*

2. **Contents.** **Definition 1.** Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be elements of a semigroup S . The "content" of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in S , $C_S \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$, is the set of elements of S which can be expressed as a product involving all the elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

From the definition it is obvious that $C_S \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a subsemigroup of S . As a special case we consider a band.

Lemma 1. *Let S be a band. Then any content $C_S \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is a rectangular band.*

To prove Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove Lemma 2.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a free band generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . A content $C_F \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a rectangular band.*

However we will prove Lemma 4 which is a more generalized form of Lemma 2.

Let F be the free band generated by $G = \{g_\lambda : \lambda \in A\}$.

Definition 2. If $X \in F$, let $G(X) = \{g_\lambda \in G : X = g_{\lambda_1} g_{\lambda_2} \cdots g_{\lambda_n}\}$.¹⁾

Lemma 3. *If $X, Y \in F$ then*

$$(i) \quad G(XY) = G(X) \cup G(Y)$$

1) A similar definition was used by Green and Rees [1].