

243. On Julia's Exceptional Functions

By Toshiko ZINNO and Nobushige TODA

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1966)

1. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function and $\rho(f(z))$ the spherical derivative of $f(z)$. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen ([2]) have proved that $f(z)$ satisfies

$$(*) \quad \rho(f(z)) = O(1/|z|) \quad (z \rightarrow \infty)$$

if and only if $f(z)$ is a Julia's exceptional function.

Recently, J. M. Anderson and J. Clunie ([1]) have raised an open question whether a function satisfying the condition (*) can possess a Valiron deficient value. We give a negative answer for it in this paper.

2. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function and $\{\sigma_\nu\}$ an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers such that $|\sigma_\nu| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\sigma_\nu| \geq 1$. We put $f_\nu(z) = f(\sigma_\nu z)$. If, for every such $\{\sigma_\nu\}$, the family $\{f_\nu(z)\}$ is normal in the sense of Montel in $0 < |z| < \infty$, $f(z)$ is said to be a Julia's exceptional function (c.f. A. Ostrowski [4]).

We shall assume the acquaintance with the standard terminology of the Nevanlinna theory:

$$T(r, f), \quad n(r, a, f), \quad N(r, a, f), \quad m(r, a, f)$$

and with the first fundamental theorem of R. Nevanlinna ([3]). The deficiencies of Nevanlinna $\underline{\delta}(a, f)$ and of Valiron $\bar{\delta}(a, f)$ of a value a are defined respectively as follows:

$$\underline{\delta}(a, f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, a, f)}{T(r, f)}$$

and

$$\bar{\delta}(a, f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, a, f)}{T(r, f)}.$$

If $\underline{\delta}(a, f) > 0$ ($\bar{\delta}(a, f) > 0$), the value a is said to be a Nevanlinna (Valiron) deficient value.

Theorem. *If $f(z)$ is a Julia's exceptional function, then for every complex number a $\bar{\delta}(a, f) = 0$.*

Proof. A. Ostrowski ([4]) has proved that if $f(z)$ is a Julia's exceptional function, there exists a finite number C independent of r such that

$$|n(r, 0, f) - n(r, \infty, f)| < C.$$

Hence we have

$$n(r, \infty, f) - C < n(r, 0, f) < n(r, \infty, f) + C,$$