

## 147. General Theory of Mappings

By Kiyoshi ISÉKI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

In his paper [1], J. R. Büchi considered the notion of functions on a set. Some of his results are true for the both set theories in the senses of G. Cantor and S. Leśniewski. In this paper, we concern with a theory of functions on a set in the sense of G. Cantor.

Let  $E, E'$  be two given sets,  $f$  a function from  $2^E$  to  $2^{E'}$ , where  $2^E, 2^{E'}$  denote the sets of all subsets of  $E, E'$  respectively.

J. R. Büchi [1] introduced a notion of a pair of functions  $(f, \bar{f})$  as follows:  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  are a pair of functions, if, for any function  $f$ , there is a function  $\bar{f}$  from  $2^{E'}$  to  $2^E$  such that  $A' \cap f(A) = 0$  implies  $\bar{f}(A') \cap A = 0$ , where  $A \in 2^E, A' \in 2^{E'}$ . J. R. Büchi obtained some important properties on  $(f, \bar{f})$  (see [1]). Among these properties, an important result is the representation of  $\bar{f}: f(A') = \cap \{X | f(E-X) \subset E' - A'\}$ .

If  $(f, \bar{f})$  is a pair of functions, then for  $\{A_\alpha\}, A_\alpha \subset E$ , we have  $f(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha) = \bigcup_\alpha f(A_\alpha)$  (see [1], p. 164). Hence  $f$  is a multiform mapping in the sense of Dubreil ([4]-[7]).

Further we have  $\bar{f}(f(A)) \supset A$ . To prove it, take an element  $x$  of  $A$ . Suppose that  $\bar{f}(f(A)) \cap x = \phi$ , then  $f(A) \cap f(x) = 0$ , which contradicts to  $f(x) \subset f(A)$ .

For the empty set  $\phi$  and  $E$ , we have  $\bar{f}(f(\phi)) = \phi, \bar{f}(f(E)) = E$ . Therefore the family  $\mathfrak{M}$  of all subsets  $A$  of  $E$  such that  $\bar{f}(f(A)) = A$  is not empty.

Let  $A = \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha, A_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then we

$$\bar{f}(f(A)) = \bar{f}(f(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha)) = \bar{f}(\bigcup_\alpha f(A_\alpha)) = \bigcup_\alpha \bar{f}(f(A_\alpha)) = \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha = A.$$

Let  $B = \bigcap_\alpha A_\alpha, A_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then

$$\bar{f}(f(B)) = \bar{f}(f(\bigcap_\alpha A_\alpha)) \subset \bar{f}(\bigcap_\alpha f(A_\alpha)) \subset \bigcap_\alpha \bar{f}(f(A_\alpha)) = \bigcap_\alpha A_\alpha = B.$$

On the other hand,  $B \subset \bar{f}(f(B))$  for any subset  $B$  of  $E$ .

For any subset  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $(E-A) \cap \bar{f}(f(A)) = (E-A) \cap A = \phi$ . Hence  $f(E-A) \cap f(A) = \phi$ .

This implies  $\bar{f}(f(E-A)) \cap A = \phi$ , and we have  $\bar{f}(f(E-A)) \subset E-A$ . Therefore, we have the following

**Theorem 1.** *The family  $\mathfrak{M}$  of all subsets  $A$  such that  $f(f(A))$*

---

1) In this Note, we shall assume that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in E$ .