

## 136. A New Proof of the Limit Formula of Kronecker

By Yoichi MOTOHASHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and  
Engineering, Nihon University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

Let  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$  ( $d = ac - b^2$ ) be a positive definite quadratic form with discriminant  $-4d$ . The Epstein zeta-function of  $Q$  is defined by

$$\zeta(s, Q) = \sum_{(m, n) \neq (0, 0)} Q(m, n)^{-s} \quad (s = \sigma + it)$$

for  $\sigma > 1$ .

It is well known that  $\zeta(s, Q)$  can be continued analytically for all  $s$ -plane and has only one pole of order one at  $s=1$ .

The residue of this pole has been calculated by L.P. Dirichlet. And the constant term has been obtained by L. Kronecker. This is the so called Kronecker's limit formula.

These values are very important in order to calculate the class numbers of certain algebraic number fields. And so several proofs have been obtained. See for instance H. Weber [3], P. Epstein [1], and C.L. Siegel [2]. But it seems that the following proof has not been observed before.

Our method is as follows :

**Theorem.**

$$\zeta(s, Q) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \frac{1}{s-1} + 2 \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{d}} (\gamma + \log \sqrt{a/4d} - \log |\eta(z)|^2) + O(s-1),$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler's constant 0.57721..., and  $\eta(z)$  is the Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function defined by

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi imz}) \quad \text{for } \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

Here  $z = (-b + i\sqrt{d})/a$ .

**Proof.** By the familiar assertion of Poisson's summation-formula we have for  $\sigma > 1$

$$(1) \quad \zeta(s, Q) = 2a^{-s} \zeta(2s) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2\pi imx} Q(x, n)^{-s} dx,$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta-function. We denote the above integral by  $I(m, n, s)$ . Then by the  $\Gamma$ -integral, we have for  $\sigma > 0$

$$(2) \quad \Gamma(s) I(m, n, s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2\pi imx} dx \int_0^{\infty} \xi^{s-1} e^{-Q(x, n)\xi} d\xi.$$

Obviously the order of integration can be changed, and so