

232. On M - and M^* -Spaces

By Tadashi ISHII

Utsunomiya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1968)

1. In [2], K. Morita has introduced the notion of M -spaces. A topological space X is an M -space if there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying the condition (M) below:

$$(M) \begin{cases} \text{If } \{K_i\} \text{ is a sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such that} \\ K_{i+1} \subset K_i, K_i \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for each } i \text{ and for some fixed point } x_0 \\ \text{of } X, \text{ then } \bar{K}_i \neq \phi. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, in [1], we introduced the notion of M^* -spaces. A topological space X is an M^* -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ of locally finite closed coverings of X satisfying Condition (M), where we may assume without loss of generality that \mathfrak{F}_{i+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{F}_i for each i . As for the relations between M - and M^* -spaces, the following results are proved by K. Morita [3].

(1) There exists an M^* -space which is locally compact Hausdorff but is not an M -space.

(2) A collectionwise normal space is an M -space if and only if it is an M^* -space.

The first result is a direct consequence of the following (cf. [3]): There is a perfect map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that X is an M -space but Y is not, and such that X, Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces. In fact, the space Y is an M^* -space as the image under a perfect map f of an M^* -space X by [1, Theorem 2.3 in I].¹⁾ However, it seems to be unknown whether a normal M^* -space is an M -space or not. The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer for this problem.

2. We shall prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *A normal space X is an M -space if and only if it is an M^* -space.*

Before proving Theorem 2.1, we mention a fundamental lemma, which is essentially due to K. Morita [3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be an M^* -space with a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_i\}$ of locally finite closed coverings of X satisfying Condition (M), where \mathfrak{F}_{i+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{F}_i for each i . Then the following statements are valid.*

(a) *If $\{K_i\}$ is a sequence of non-empty subsets of X such that*

1) In [1, Theorem 2.3 in I], the assumption that X is T_1 is unnecessary.