

### 34. Modular Pairs in Atomistic Lattices with the Covering Property

By Shûichirô MAEDA

Ehime University, Matsuyama

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1969)

**1. Introduction.** In the previous paper [4], a lattice  $L$  is called a DAC-lattice when both  $L$  and its dual are atomistic lattices with the covering property. The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of closed subspaces of a linear system, appeared in Mackey [2], is an example of a DAC-lattice. In [2; p. 168], Mackey proved that a pair of elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  is both modular and dual-modular if and only if it is stable modular. In this paper we shall show (Theorem 2) that this statement can be proved in general DAC-lattices. As a consequence of this result, we shall obtain a condition on a DAC-lattice which is equivalent to cross-symmetry. In the last section, we shall show some results on cross-symmetry of the lattice of closed subspaces of a locally convex space.

**2. Symmetry of modular relations.** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be elements of a lattice. We say that  $(a, b)$  is a *modular pair* (resp. a *dual-modular pair*) and write  $(a, b)M$  (resp.  $(a, b)M^*$ ) when

$$\begin{aligned} & (c \vee a) \wedge b = c \vee (a \wedge b) \quad \text{for every } c \leq b \\ \text{(resp. } & (c \wedge a) \vee b = c \wedge (a \vee b) \quad \text{for every } c \geq b). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $(a, b)M^*$  is equivalent to  $(b, a)M^*$  in the sense of [4].)

A lattice  $L$  is called *M-symmetric* (resp. *M\*-symmetric*) when  $(a, b)M$  implies  $(b, a)M$  (resp.  $(a, b)M^*$  implies  $(b, a)M^*$ ) in  $L$ .  $L$  is called *cross-symmetric* (resp. *dual cross-symmetric*) when  $(a, b)M$  implies  $(b, a)M^*$  (resp.  $(a, b)M^*$  implies  $(b, a)M$ ) in  $L$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be elements of a lattice  $L$ .*

(i) *If  $(a, b)M$  and  $(a \wedge b, c)M$  then  $(a_1, b \wedge c)M$  for any element  $a_1$  of the interval  $L[a \wedge c, a]$ .*

(ii) *If  $(a, b)M$  then  $(a_1, b_1)M$  for any  $a_1 \in L[a \wedge b, a]$  and  $b_1 \in L[a \wedge b, b]$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $a \wedge c \leq a_1 \leq a$ . Then  $a_1 \wedge c = a \wedge c$ . If  $d \leq b \wedge c$ , then by  $(a, b)M$  and  $(a \wedge b, c)M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d \vee a_1) \wedge (b \wedge c) & \leq (d \vee a) \wedge b \wedge c = \{d \vee (a \wedge b)\} \wedge c \\ & = d \vee (a \wedge b \wedge c) = d \vee (a_1 \wedge b \wedge c) \leq (d \vee a_1) \wedge (b \wedge c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(a_1, b \wedge c)M$ .

(ii) Assume  $(a, b)M$  and let  $a \wedge b \leq b_1 \leq b$ . Since  $(a \wedge b, b_1)M$ , it follows from (i) that

$$(a_1, b_1)M \quad \text{for any } a_1 \in L[a \wedge b_1, a] = L[a \wedge b, a].$$