

152. On the Convergence Criteria of Fourier Series

By Masako IZUMI, Shin-ichi IZUMI and V. V. Gopal RAO

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1970)

1. Introduction and Theorems.

1.1. We consider functions f which are even, integrable on the interval $(0, \pi)$ and are periodic with period 2π . The Young-Pollard convergence criterion of Fourier series of f reads as follows [1]:

Theorem YP. *If*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^t f(u) du = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \int_0^t |d(uf(u))| \leq At \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

then the Fourier series of f converges at the origin.

This was generalized by H. Lebesgue [1] (or [2]) in the following form:

Theorem L. *If the condition (1) holds and*

$$(3) \quad \int_t^\pi |f(u) - f(u+t)| u^{-1} du = o(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

then the Fourier series of f is convergent at the origin.

Later this was further generalized by S. Pollard [1]:

Theorem P. *If the condition (1) holds and*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{kt}^\pi |f(u) - f(u+t)| u^{-1} du = 0,$$

then the Fourier series of f is convergent at the origin.

Does there exist any convergence criterion which contained in Theorem P but not in Theorem L? If there exists, Theorem P is properly more general than Theorem L. One of the object of this paper is to give an answer to this question.

1.2. On the other hand, M. and S. Izumi [3] proved the following

Theorem I. *If (1) holds and*

$$(5) \quad \int_t^\pi |d(u^{-a}f(u))| \leq At^{-a} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

for an a , $0 < a < 1$, then the Fourier series of f converges at the origin.

Later B. Kwee [4] proved the

Theorem K. *The condition (2) implies (5) and the condition (5) implies (4). That is, Theorem I contains Theorem YP as a particular case, but is contained in Theorem P as a particular case.*

The question arises: what is the relation between Theorems L and