

64. On the α -Deficiency of Meromorphic Functions under Change of Origin

By Nobushige TODA^{*)}

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1971)

1. Introduction. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in $|z| < \infty$ of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$ and of lower order μ . A real number α is said to be admissible to $f(z)$ if $\alpha = 0$ when $\rho = 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < \rho$ when $0 < \rho < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$ when $\rho = \infty$. We will use the usual symbols of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions: $T(r, f)$, $N(r, a, f)$, $\delta(a, f)$ etc. (see [2]).

Now, we have introduced in [3] the following symbols in order to avoid the exceptional set in the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna for any admissible α to $f(z)$ and $r_0 > 0$:

$$T_\alpha(r, r_0, f) = \int_{r_0}^r T(t, f) / t^{1+\alpha} dt, \quad N_\alpha(r, r_0, a, f) = \int_{r_0}^r N(t, a, f) / t^{1+\alpha} dt$$

and

$$\delta_\alpha(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_\alpha(r, r_0, a, f)}{T_\alpha(r, r_0, f)},$$

where a is any point on the Riemann sphere, and proved:

1) $T_\alpha(r, r_0, f)$ tends to the infinity monotonously as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_\alpha(r, r_0, f)}{\log r} = \begin{cases} \rho - \alpha & \text{for } \rho < \infty \\ \infty & \text{for } \rho = \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T_\alpha(r, r_0, f)}{\log r} \begin{cases} \geq \max(\mu - \alpha, 0) & \text{for } \mu < \infty \\ = \infty & \text{for } \mu = \infty, \end{cases}$$

2) $\delta_\alpha(a, f)$ is independent of the choice of r_0 and for admissible $\beta (> \alpha)$ to $f(z)$

$$\delta(a, f) \leq \delta_\alpha(a, f) \leq \delta_\beta(a, f) \leq 1,$$

3) $\sum_a \delta_\alpha(a, f) \leq 2$.

We call $\delta_\alpha(a, f)$ α -deficiency of $f(z)$ at a . It is natural to consider whether the α -deficiency depends on the choice of origin or not as well as the Nevanlinna deficiency. In this note, we will show first that $\delta_\alpha(a, f)$ depends on the choice of origin by using Dugué's example ([1]) used for the case of $\delta(a, f)$, and next give some sufficient conditions under which $\delta_\alpha(a, f)$ is invariant under a change of origin by Valiron's method ([4]).

2. Example. Let

^{*)} Supported in part by the Sakkokai Foundation.