

### 59. Invariancy of Plancherel Measure under the Operation of Kronecker Product

By Nobuhiko TATSUUMA

Department of Mathematics, Kyôto University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1971)

1. Let  $G$  be a unimodular locally compact group of type  $I$ . For such a group, so-called Plancherel formula was given by F. I. Mautner [2], I. E. Segal [3], and H. Sunouchi [4], as follows.

Consider the dual  $\hat{G}$  (the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations) of  $G$ , and put  $U_f(\omega) = \int_G f(g)U_g(\omega)dg$  for any function  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$  and any unitary representation  $\omega = \{\mathfrak{U}(\omega), U_g(\omega)\}$  of  $G$ . Then, there exists a measure  $\mu$  (Plancherel measure) over  $\hat{G}$ , such that for any function  $f$  in  $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ , the equation (1) is valid.

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\hat{G}} \|U_f(\omega)\|^2 d\mu(\omega). \quad (1)$$

Here  $\|U_f(\omega)\|$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the operator  $U_f(\omega)$ .

This formula is considered as an extension of the Plancherel formula for abelian locally compact groups. But in this abelian case,  $\hat{G}$  becomes an abelian locally compact group too, and the Plancherel measure  $\mu$  is just invariant measure over  $\hat{G}$ .

The group operation of  $\hat{G}$  is given by the ordinary product of characters as functions on  $G$ , that is, the Kronecker product of 1-dimensional representation. So the invariancy of Plancherel measure is that,

$$d\mu(\chi_0 \otimes \chi) = d\mu(\chi), \quad \text{for any } \chi_0 \text{ in } \hat{G}, \quad (2)$$

and this is equivalent to,

$$\int_{\hat{G}} |\tilde{f}(\chi_0 \otimes \chi)|^2 d\mu(\chi) = \int_{\hat{G}} |\tilde{f}(\chi)|^2 d\mu(\chi), \quad (3)$$

for any  $\chi_0$  in  $\hat{G}$  and  $f$  in  $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ .

Here  $\tilde{f}$  shows the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

In general case, an analogue of (3) may be constructed as follows. At first, by virtue of (1), we replace Fourier transform  $\tilde{f}$  of function  $f$  by the operator-valued function  $U_f(\omega)$ , then the term  $|\tilde{f}(\chi_0 \otimes \chi)|^2$  is replaced by  $\|U_f(\omega_0 \otimes \omega)\|^2$ .

On the other hand, the well-known relation  $\omega_0 \otimes \mathfrak{R} \sim \sum_{\dim \omega_0} \oplus \mathfrak{R}$ , for the regular representation  $\mathfrak{R}$  and any representation  $\omega_0$ , suggests that, in general form, the factor  $(\dim \omega_0)^{-1}$  is needed in the left hand side. So, one of the purposes of this paper is to show the equation (4) for finite dimensional representation  $\omega_0$ .