

90. *Analytic Proof of Blaschke's Theorem on the Curve of Constant Breadth, II.*

By Matsusaburo FUJIWARA, M.I.A.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University.

(Comm. Oct. 12, 1931.)

In the former paper with the same title, this Proceedings **3**, 1927, I have given an analytic proof of Blaschke's theorem :

The Reuleaux triangle consisting of three circular arcs of radius a is a curve of constant breadth a with minimum area.

There I have only sketched the main line of proof and left untouched the proof of the fact, that we can determine A and B such that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) + a &\leq 0 && \text{for } 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{3}, \\ L(\theta) + a \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) &\geq 0 && \text{for } \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ L(\theta) + a(1 + \cos \theta) &\leq 0 && \text{for } \frac{2\pi}{3} \leq \theta < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

where
$$L(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \rho(\varphi) \sin(\theta - \varphi) d\varphi + A \cos \theta + B \sin \theta - a.$$

When I recently informed my proof to Mr. Morimoto, he remarked me a slight error in it. So I will give here the corrected proof in detail.

Determining A and B such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = L(\theta) + a &= L(\theta) + a \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) && \text{for } \theta = \frac{\pi}{3}, \\ 0 = L(\theta) + a \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) &= L(\theta) + a(1 + \cos \theta) && \text{for } \theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

and putting these values in $L(\theta)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= -a - \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) + \int_0^\theta \rho(\varphi) \sin(\theta - \varphi) d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta\right) \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \rho(\varphi) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3} - \varphi\right) d\varphi \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3} - \theta\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \rho(\varphi) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \varphi\right) d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$