

2. Closure in General Lattices.

By Masahiro NAKAMURA.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Jan. 13, 1941.)

1. Introduction. The concept "closure" was axiomatized by F. Riesz and Kuratowski¹⁾ on the field of sets, and Terasaka²⁾ generalized it onto abstract Boolean algebras. The object of this note is to extend it onto general lattices. Incidentally "combinations of topologies" of G. Birkhoff³⁾ are treated from more general point of view.

By "closure" we mean a transformation α on a complete lattice L into itself, which satisfies

$$[1.1] \quad \begin{array}{ll} 1^\circ & a \leq \alpha a, \\ 2^\circ & (a \cup b)\alpha = \alpha a \cup \alpha b, \\ 3^\circ & (\alpha a)\alpha = \alpha a, \\ 4^\circ & 0\alpha = 0. \end{array}$$

From this definition we can easily get

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{l} 1^\circ \quad a \leq b \text{ implies } \alpha a \leq \alpha b, \\ 2^\circ \quad (a \cap b)\alpha \leq \alpha a \cap \alpha b. \end{array}$$

As usual we define closedness of a by $\alpha a = a$, and denote the set of closed elements by C or C_α . Then⁴⁾

(1.3) A closure α determines a meet-complete sublattice C of L .

Proof is trivial. If a closure α determines a meet-complete sublattice C , then we denote it by $\alpha \rightarrow C$.

2. Meet-complete sublattices. Conversely, if a meet-complete sublattice C which contains 0 and 1 is given and define a transformation β on L as

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha\beta = \bigwedge (x; x \geq a, x \in C),$$

then β satisfies evidently $1^\circ, 3^\circ, 4^\circ$ of [1.1] and furthermore we can prove β is a join-homomorphism: by (2.1) $a\beta \cup b\beta \geq (a \cup b)\beta$ implies $(a \cup b)\beta \leq a\beta \cup b\beta$, and conversely $a, b \leq (a \cup b)\beta$ implies $a\beta \cup b\beta \leq (a \cup b)\beta$. Hence

(2.2) Any meet-complete sublattice C determines a closure β .

We denote this fact by $C \rightarrow \beta$. If $\alpha \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow \beta$, then by (2.1) $\alpha\alpha \geq \alpha\beta$, conversely $a \leq \alpha\beta$ implies $\alpha a \leq \alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha\beta$ (because $a \in C$), hence if we denote by Γ the set of all closures on L , and by Σ the set of all meet-complete sublattices which contain 0 and 1, then

(2.3) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Γ and Σ .

3. Combinations of topologies. Now, let us define join and meet of two closures following G. Birkhoff's method. We assume C_α and

1) c. f. Kuratowski, *Topologie* I, Warsaw, 1933.

2) Terasaka, *Theorie der topologischen Verbände*, Proc. **13** (1937).

3) G. Birkhoff, On the combinations of topologies, *Fund. Math.*, **26** (1936).

4) A lattice C is called *meet-complete*, if unrestricted meet operation is defined in C .