

## Spin Transformations. I.

By

Minoru URABE.

(Received July, 10, 1941.)

## § 1. Introduction.

Any 4-4 matrices  $\gamma_i$  which satisfy the relations

$$\gamma_{(i}\gamma_{j)} = g_{ij}I \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (1.1)$$

for any given fundamental tensor  $g_{ij}$  in a 4-dimensional Riemannian space are given as follows<sup>(1)</sup>:

$$\gamma_i = S^{-1}h_i^r\hat{\gamma}_rS, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $S$  is any 4-4 matrix,  $\hat{\gamma}_i$  are any 4-4 matrices satisfying  $\hat{\gamma}_{(i}\hat{\gamma}_{j)} = \delta_{ij}I$ , and  $h_j^i$  satisfy the following relations:

$$\sum_{r=1}^4 h_i^r h_j^r = g_{ij}, \quad (1.3)$$

i.e. arbitrary  $\gamma_i$  are given by  $H = \|h_{ij}\|$  ( $i$  indicate the rows and  $j$  the columns) and a spin matrix  $S$ . Now let us consider the space  $\Gamma_4$  consisting of all  $\gamma_i$  ( $=h_i^r\hat{\gamma}_r$ ) where  $\hat{\gamma}_r$  are fixed and  $h_j^i$  may take all the values satisfying relations (1.3). An element  $\gamma_i$  of  $\Gamma_4$  evidently satisfies (1.1). Further, let us consider the spin transformation  $S$  of the elements  $\gamma_i$ 's of  $\Gamma_4$ , such that  $\gamma_i = S^{-1}\gamma_i S$ . The set of all such  $S$  we write  $\mathfrak{S}$ ; then, clearly,  $\mathfrak{S}$  makes a group. So for any  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  satisfying (1.1), there exists  $S$  such that transitive group leaving  $\Gamma_4$  invariant. There now arises the problem of determining group  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

If any two elements  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  of  $\Gamma_4$  be written as follows:

$$\gamma_i = h_i^r\hat{\gamma}_r \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i = k_i^r\hat{\gamma}_r,$$

then, from (1.3), we have  $H^*H = K^*K = G$ , where  $H = \|h_j^i\|$ ,  $K = \|k_j^i\|$ , and  $G = \|g_{ij}\|$ , and the asterisk denotes the transposed matrix. If we put  $HK^{-1} \equiv A$ , we have  $A^*A = I$ , i.e.  $A$  is an orthogonal matrix. Then we say that  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  have the same or opposite orientations, according as the orthogonal matrix  $A$  is proper or improper. Specially, if we take  $\delta_{ij}$  for

(1) Pauli, Ann. d. Physik. **18** (1933).

Newman, Jour. London Math. Soc. **7** (1932), p. 93.