

On a Theorem in Lattice Theory

By

Tōzrō OGASAWARA and Usa SASAKI

(Received Oct. 30, 1948.)

It is not known in the lattice theory, whether or not in general, existence and unicity of complementation imply distributivity. G. Birkhoff⁽¹⁾ answered positively to this question in complete, atomic lattice. But we shall show that this is true without the assumption of completeness.

THEOREM. *An atomic lattice L , each element of which has a unique complement, is a Boolean lattice.*

We denote elements of L by a, b , and atomic ones by x, y . To prove this theorem we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. $a < b$ implies $a' \wedge b \neq 0$.

For, if $a' \wedge b = 0$, then $a' \vee b \geq a' \vee a = 1$, from which we have $a = b$ by unicity of complementation. This contradicts the assumption $a < b$.

LEMMA 2. $a \not\leq b$ implies the existence of an x such that $a \wedge x = x$, $b \wedge x = 0$.

For, since $a \wedge b < a$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $(a \wedge b)' \wedge a \neq 0$. So there exists an x such that $x \leq (a \wedge b)' \wedge a$, whence $a \wedge x = x$, $b \wedge x = x \wedge (a \wedge b)' \wedge a \wedge b = 0$.

LEMMA 3. $x' < a$ implies $a = 1$.

For, using Lemma 1, $x \wedge a = (x')' \wedge a \neq 0$, whence $x \leq a$. Thus we have $a \geq x \vee a' = 1$.

LEMMA 4. $x \vee a = 0$ implies $x' \geq a$.

For, $x' \not\leq a$ implies, by Lemma 2, the existence of y such that $y \leq a$, $y \wedge x' = 0$. Using Lemma 3, $y \vee x' = 1$, therefore unicity of complementation implies $x = y$, whence $0 = a \wedge x = a \wedge y = y$, which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 5. For any x , it holds $x \leq a$ or $x \leq a'$.

For, the contrary implies, by Lemma 4, that $x' \geq a$, and $x' \geq a'$, whence we reach the contradiction that $x' \geq a \vee a' = 1$.

LEMMA 6. For any x , $x \leq a \wedge b$ implies either $x \leq a$ or $x \leq b$.

For, the contrary implies that $x' \geq a$, $x' \geq b$, whence $x' \geq a \vee b \geq x$. So we get $x = x \wedge x' = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Now we prove the theorem. We define $A_a = \{x; x \leq a\}$. Using Lemma 2, 5, and 6,
(1) $A_a = A_b$ if and only if $a = b$, (2) $A_{a'} = A'_a$, and (3) $A_{a \vee b} = A_a \vee A_b$. So L is isomorphic with the Boolean lattice of subsets of A_1 . Therefore L is itself a Boolean lattice.

(1) G. Birkhoff and M. Ward, *Annals of Math.*, **40** (1939) 609-610.