

***On the Different Theorem in Complete Fields
with Respect to a Discrete Valuation***

By

Akira KINOHARA

(Received March 20, 1954)

§ 1. Throughout this note we shall be dealing with finite separable extensions K of a field k which is complete under a discrete valuation. As usual, we shall denote by \mathfrak{D} , \mathfrak{P} , \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{o} , \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{f} the rings of integers, prime ideals, and residue class fields in K and k , respectively. The trace from K to k will be denoted by S . Then the *different* $D(K/k)$ of K/k is defined as the inverse of \mathfrak{M} such that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow S(\lambda \mathfrak{D}) \subset \mathfrak{o}$.

In this note, we shall show a proof of the different theorem different from the usual one.¹⁾

§ 2. Throughout this note, let $f(x)^{2)}$ be the canonical defining polynomial of $\theta \in \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$, and $f'(x)$ the derivative of $f(x)$ with respect to x . Then, as is well known, the different $f'(\theta)$ of θ is divisible by $D(K/k)$. In particular, if, for example, k, K are \mathfrak{p} -adic number fields, $D(K/k)$ is the greatest common divisor of $(f'(\theta))$ for all θ in \mathfrak{D} . But, in our case, it is not always true.

LEMMA 1. *The following three statements are equivalent:*

A) $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{o}[\theta]$

B) $D(K/k) = (f'(\theta))$

C) There exists an element $\eta \in \mathfrak{D}$ whose residue class modulo \mathfrak{P} is a primitive element of $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{f}$ and one of the prime elements of \mathfrak{P} in \mathfrak{D} belongs to $\mathfrak{o}[\eta]$.

PROOF. We have already proved³⁾: A) \Leftrightarrow B). Here we shall show A) \Leftrightarrow C). We may take an element θ which satisfies the conditions required in C) and is one of the primitive elements of K/k . Then, if $[\mathfrak{R}:\mathfrak{f}]^4) = f$, we can choose $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{f-1}$ as a

1) See: E. Artin, *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions I*, Princeton Univ. and New York Univ., 1950-1951, Chap. 5, The Different, Theorem 2.

2) $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ with the leading coefficient 1.

3) See: A. Kinohara, A Note on the Relative 2-Dimensional Cohomology Group in Complete Fields with Respect to a Discrete Valuation, this Journal, **18**, p. 2 (1954), Lemma 1.

4) $[\mathfrak{R}:\mathfrak{f}]$ denotes the degree of $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{f}$.