

Some Counterexamples Related to Prime Chains in Integral Domains

Kazunori FUJITA

(Received May 19, 1975)

In this paper all rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. If A is a noetherian Hilbert ring which satisfies the second chain condition for prime ideals, then the polynomial ring $A[X]$ in an indeterminate X over A has the second chain condition for prime ideals ([11], Theorem 1.14). However, in Section 1, we show that $A[X]$ does not necessarily satisfy the first chain condition for prime ideals, even though A is a noetherian Hilbert ring which satisfies the first chain condition for prime ideals. If a ring A satisfies the first chain condition for prime ideals, then as we know, for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A , $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(A)$. However, it is unknown whether the converse of this statement is true or not ([7], Remark 2.25). Moreover, in Section 1, we give a noetherian integral domain such that the converse is false. Let A be a noetherian semi local ring such that $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(A)$ for any non maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A . Then it is known that $ht(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(A)$ or $ht(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} in A . But it is unknown whether this assertion is true or not for a general noetherian ring ([7], Remark 2.6). In Section 2, we give a noetherian integral domain such that the above assertion is false. This example shows besides that the statement b) and the statement c) of Remark 2.25 of Ratliff's paper [7] are not equivalent: Even if $\dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(A) - 1$ for each height one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in a noetherian integral domain A , the equality $ht(\mathfrak{P}) + \dim(A/\mathfrak{P}) = \dim(A)$ does not necessarily hold for any prime ideal \mathfrak{P} in A . In Section 3, making use of the example given in Section 2, we construct a non-catenarian local integral domain D such that for each height one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in D , $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(D/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(D)$ (cf. [9], p. 232).

Throughout this paper the notation $M \subset N$ (or $N \supset M$) means that M is a proper subset of N .

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor H. Yanagihara for his valuable advice and his comments in writing this paper.

1. It is known that if a ring A satisfies the first chain condition for prime ideals, then for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A , $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(A)$ ([7], p. 1083). Moreover, in [8], Ratliff proved that if A is a noetherian local domain, then the converse of this assertion holds. However it is an open problem whether or not the converse holds in general case ([7], p. 1085). The purpose of this section