Positive Bounded Solutions for a Class of Linear Delay Differential Equations

David Lowell LOVELADY (Received February 17, 1976)

Let *n* be an integer, $n \ge 2$, let *q* be a continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$, and let *G* be the set to which *g* belongs if and only if *g* is a nondecreasing unbounded continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that $g(t) \le t$ whenever $t \ge 0$. Let G° be that subset of *G* to which *g* belongs if and only if *g* is in *G* and g(t) < t whenever t > 0. We propose to study the differential equation

(1)
$$u^{(n)}(t) + (-1)^{n+1}q(t)u(g(t)) = 0,$$

for g in G. A function u from $[0, \infty)$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$ is called a solution of (1) if and only if there is $b \ge 0$ such that $u^{(n)}$ exists on (b, ∞) and (1) is true whenever t > b. A solution u of (1) is called oscillatory if and only if the set $\{t: t \ge 0$ and $u(t)=0\}$ is unbounded. Otherwise, u is called nonoscillatory. Although the analogue of (1) without delay is known to have a positive bounded solution, several authors have shown that if the delay is large enough, i.e., g is small enough, then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory. In particular, if g is in G, if

(2)
$$\int_0^\infty t^{n-1}q(t)dt = \infty,$$

and if

(3)
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{g(t)}^{t} (g(t) - g(s))^{n-1} q(s) ds > (n-1)!,$$

then G. Ladas, V. Lakshmikantham, and J. S. Papadakis [3] have shown that every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory. M. Naito [7] has shown that if g is in G and

(4)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{g(t)} \int_{g(t)}^{t} (s - g(t))^{n-1} q(s) ds > (n-1)!,$$

then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory. Note that although each of (3) and (4) implies (2), (3) and (4) are independent. Since the results of [3] and [7] are of the nature "if g is small enough then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory", the question arises: If g is large enough can we conclude the existence of a positive bounded solution? We shall give a result which answers