

Contravariant forms on generalized Verma modules and b -functions

Akihito WACHI

(Received February 23, 1998)

(Revised May 14, 1998)

ABSTRACT. Two bilinear forms on a scalar generalized Verma module $M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbf{C}_\lambda$ are treated in this paper, where \mathfrak{g} is a complex simple Lie algebra and \mathfrak{p} is its parabolic subalgebra. They coincide on each l -irreducible component up to scalar multiple, where l is a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{p} . These ratios have played important roles in the representation theory. We show intrinsically that these ratios are products of b -functions when the nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}^+ of \mathfrak{p} is commutative. As an application we explain the reason why the b -functions control the irreducibility of $M(\lambda)$, the orbit decomposition of \mathfrak{n}^+ under the action of the Levi subgroup, and the unitarizability of $M(\lambda)$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a complex simple Lie group. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{h} its Cartan subalgebra. Let Δ and Δ^+ be the root system and the positive system, respectively. Let \mathfrak{p} be a parabolic subalgebra containing \mathfrak{h} and all the positive root spaces. Then the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ is said to be of commutative parabolic type if the nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}^+ of \mathfrak{p} is commutative. In this paper, we exclusively consider $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ of commutative parabolic type.

Let $M(\lambda)$ be the scalar generalized Verma module induced from $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{C})$. Then $M(\lambda) \simeq \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{n}^+]$ as vector spaces. We therefore obtain the representation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{n}^+]$, and denote it by $\Psi_\lambda : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End } \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{n}^+]$.

Let $\{X_\alpha, H_i\}$ be a Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{g} , where $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $H_i \in \mathfrak{h}$. To give the definition of contravariant forms, we define an involutive anti-automorphism ${}^t \cdot$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by $X_\alpha \mapsto X_{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$) and to be the identity on \mathfrak{h} . For a representation (π, V) of \mathfrak{g} , a bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on V is called a contravariant form or a $\pi(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ -contravariant form if it satisfies $(\pi(X)v, w) = (v, \pi({}^t X)w)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v, w \in V$. We study a canonical $\Psi_\lambda(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ -contravariant form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda$ and a canonical $\text{ad}(U(l))$ -contravariant form (\cdot, \cdot) on $M(\lambda) \simeq \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{n}^+]$, where l is the Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{p} containing \mathfrak{h} . Let $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{n}^+] = \bigoplus_{\mu} I_\mu$ be the irreducible decomposition as an $\text{ad}(U(l))$ -

1991 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: 17B10.

Key words and Phrases: generalized Verma modules, b -functions, contravariant forms, semisimple Lie algebras.