

Embedding Theorem of Continuous Regular Rings

By

Fumitomo MAEDA.

(Received Oct. 30, 1948)

Let L be a reducible continuous geometry, and \mathcal{Q} the set of all maximal neutral ideals J in L . Kawada-Matsushima-Higuchi [1]⁽¹⁾ has proved that L is isomorphic to a sublattice of $\Pi(L/J; J \in \mathcal{Q})$, where L/J are irreducible continuous geometries. In this paper, I apply this result to a reducible continuous regular ring \mathfrak{R} , the set $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ of all principal right ideals of \mathfrak{R} being a reducible continuous geometry. And I obtain an embedding theorem of \mathfrak{R} . (Cf. Theorem 3.2, below.)

§ 1. Dimension Functions of Reducible Continuous Geometries.

Let L be a continuous complemented modular lattice, i. e. a reducible continuous geometry, and Z the center of L . Then Z is a complete Boolean algebra. Denote by \mathcal{Q} the set of all maximal ideals \mathfrak{f} of Z . For any $z \in Z$, let $E(z)$ be the set of all maximal ideals which do not contain z . Using $\{E(z); z \in Z\}$ as an additive basis for the open sets of \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{Q} is a totally-disconnected bicomact Hausdorff space. T. Iwamura [1] proved that for any $a \in L$, there is a continuous functions $D(a) = \delta(a, \mathfrak{f})$ defined in \mathcal{Q} , which has the following properties;

- (1°) $0 \leq D(a) \leq 1$, $D(0) = 0$, $D(1) = 1$.
 (2°) $a > 0$ implies $D(a) > 0$.
 (3°) when $z \in Z$, $\delta(z, \mathfrak{f}) = 0$ or 1 , according as $z \in \mathfrak{f}$ or not.
 (4°) $D(a \vee b) + D(a \wedge b) = D(a) + D(b)$.
 (5°) $a \leq b$ are equivalent to $D(a) \leq D(b)$ respectively.

LEMMA 1.1. For any $a \in L$, let a real number $m(a)$ be defined as follows:

- (a) $0 \leq m(a) \leq 1$, $m(0) = 0$, $m(1) = 1$,
 (β) $z \in Z$ implies $m(z) = 0$ or 1 ,
 (γ) $m(a \vee b) + m(a \wedge b) = m(a) + m(b)$.

Put $\mathfrak{f} = (z; m(z) = 0, z \in Z)$, $J = (a; m(a) = 0)$. Then \mathfrak{f} is a maximal ideal in Z , and J is a maximal neutral ideal in L . And $a \in J$ when and only when $r_n(A_a, A_1) \in \mathfrak{f}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$)⁽²⁾.

PROOF. Cf. Kawada-Matsushima-Higuchi [1].

THEOREM 1.1. Let J be a maximal neutral ideal in L , and \mathfrak{f} a maximal ideal in Z .

Then

- (1°) $\mathfrak{f}(J) = (z; z \in J, z \in Z)$ is a maximal ideal in Z ,
 (2°) $J(\mathfrak{f}) = (a; \delta(a, \mathfrak{f}) = 0)$ is a maximal neutral ideal in L ,

(1) The numbers in square brackets refer to the list given at the end of this paper.

(2) For the definition of $r_n(A_a, A_1)$ cf. v. Neumann [1] III 30.