



**A Note on the Relative 2-Dimensional Cohomology Group
in Complete Fields with Respect to a Discrete Valuation¹⁾**

By

Akira KINOHARA

(Received January 31, 1954)

§ 1. Introduction

Let k, K ($k \subset K$) be p -adic number fields, and $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{D}$ its valuation ring respectively, and $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}$ its prime ideal respectively. Let D be the relative different of K/k . Then Prof. Y. Kawada²⁾ has proved the following relations with respect to the relative 2-dimensional cohomology group :

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} H(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{o}; \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{P}^r) &\cong \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{P}^r \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{P}^r \supseteq D \\ H(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{o}; \mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{P}^r) &\cong \mathfrak{D}/D \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{P}^r \subseteq D. \end{aligned}$$

Now let k be a complete field (with respect to a discrete valuation) of such a quotient field as, in its integral domains, the fundamental theorem of the multiplicative ideal theory holds. Let K be a separable extension of finite degree over k . Let $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{D}$ be its valuation ring respectively, and $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}$ its prime ideal respectively. Let D be the relative different of K/k .

Our aim is to prove that (*) relation is satisfied in the case of $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{o}[\theta]$, and that, using this fact, we can give a definition of the relative different of K/k different from the usual one.

In the case of p -adic number fields,³⁾ let K' be the inertia field of K/k , and $\mathfrak{D} \cap K' = \mathfrak{D}'$. Then $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{o}[\zeta]$, and, moreover, since the number of the elements of the residue class field of K by \mathfrak{P} is finite, we can take ζ such that $\zeta^{|N(\mathfrak{P})| - 1} = 1$ where $|N(\mathfrak{P})|$ is the absolute value of the absolute norm of \mathfrak{P} . In addition, we can set $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}'[II]$ where II is a prime element of \mathfrak{P} in \mathfrak{D} . These two facts are essentially utilized for the proof of (*) relation, but, in our case, they are not always true.

1) This note has been completed by the encouragement of Prof. M. Moriya. The author wishes to express here his hearty thanks to Prof. M. Moriya for his kindness.

2) Y. Kawada: On the derivations in number fields, *Annals of Math.* 54 (1951), pp. 310-314.

3) Y. Kawada, l. c.