

On Flat Extensions of Krull Domains

Mitsuo SHINAGAWA

(Received May 20, 1975)

Let A and B be Krull domains with A contained in B . We say that the condition “no blowing up”, abbreviated to NBU, is satisfied if $ht(\mathfrak{P} \cap A) \leq 1$ for every divisorial prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of B . The main purpose of this paper is to give a criterion of the condition NBU by making use of the notion of divisorial modules, which was introduced in [5]. That is, the condition NBU is satisfied for Krull domains A and B if and only if B is divisorial as an A -module (Theorem 1). As an immediate consequence of the above criterion, we can obtain the well-known theorem: If B is flat over A , then the condition NBU is satisfied.

We shall also investigate the behavior of divisorial envelope under flat extensions of Krull domains. The main result is stated as follows: If, in addition to flatness, B is integral over A , $M \otimes B$ is a divisorial B -module for any codivisorial and divisorial A -module M .

We shall use freely the notation and the terminologies of [5] and [6].

§1. Flat modules over a Krull domain

In this section, we understand that A is always a Krull domain and K is the quotient field of A .

It is known that an A -lattice M is divisorial if and only if every regular A -sequence of length two is a regular M -sequence (cf. [4], Chap. I, § 5, Coroll. 5.5. (f)). This result is valid for any torsion free divisorial module and to prove this, a similar method can be applied. Namely we have

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M be a torsion-free A -module. Then M is divisorial if and only if every regular A -sequence of length two is a regular M -sequence.*

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Prop. 1.

COROLLARY. *If M is a flat A -module, then M is divisorial.*

PROPOSITION 2. *Let M be an A -module and N be a flat A -module. Then we have:*

- (i) *If M is codivisorial, then so is $M \otimes_A N$.*
- (ii) $\widetilde{M \otimes_A N} = \widetilde{M} \otimes_A N$.