

## Complex structures on $L(p, q) \times S^1$

Noriaki NAKAGAWA

(Received September 14, 1993)

(Revised November 28, 1994)

### 0. Introduction

Let  $M^3$  be a compact orientable 3-manifold. Then,  $M^3 \times S^1$  has an almost complex structure, because the tangent bundle of  $M^3$  is trivial. However by [8] Theorem 3.1,  $M^3 \times S^1$  cannot have any complex structure unless  $M^3$  admits a Seifert fibering structure. Moreover these complex structures are deformation equivalent except the case that  $M^3$  is homeomorphic to a lens space by [8] Theorem 3.2 and [11] Theorems C-1 and C-2. In this note, we determine the deformation types of all the complex structures on the product manifold  $L(p, q) \times S^1$ . We begin with the precise definition of deformation types or deformation equivalence.

**DEFINITION 0.1.** ([6] p. 71 Definition 2.9) *When there exists a complex analytic family  $(M, B, \pi)$  such that  $B$  is a connected complex manifold and the Jacobian of  $\pi$  has the maximal rank at any point, any two fibers of  $\pi$  are called deformations of each other.*

**DEFINITION 0.2.** *Complex manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$  are called deformation equivalent or have the same deformation type if there exists a series of connected complex manifolds  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $X_1 = X$  and  $X_n = Y$  and  $X_{i+1}$  is a deformation of  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .*

**REMARK:** This definition of deformation equivalence is equivalent to Definition 1.1 in [3].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following main Theorem 2.1. Let  $n(N)$  denote the number of deformation types of the complex manifolds which are diffeomorphic to the manifold  $N$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers with  $p > 1$  and  $(p, q) = 1$  and  $L(p, q)$  a 3-dimensional lens space. Then,*

$$n(L(p, q) \times S^1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ 2 & \text{if } q^2 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

The latter case is characterized as the case that  $L(p, q)$  and  $L(p, -q)$  are