

ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF MULTIPLE NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS

By Yukiyosi KAWADA

(Communicated by T. Kawata)

Let the n -dimensional multiple distribution defined by n random variables x_1, \dots, x_n be the normal distribution with the probability density

$$(1) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - m_k)^2\right).$$

Then the two random variables y, z defined by the linear forms:

$$(2) \quad y = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k, \quad z = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k x_k$$

are independent if and only if

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \beta_k = 0$$

holds. Now we consider the converse of this property.

Theorem. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be n random variables. If any two random variables y, z defined as the linear forms of x_1, \dots, x_n by (2) are independent whenever the relation (3) holds, then the multiple distribution of x_1, \dots, x_n is the normal distribution with the probability density (1).

Proof. Let $\varphi_k(s) = E(\exp(isx_k))$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) be the characteristic function of x_k . Since $y = x_1 \cos \theta + x_2 \sin \theta$ and $z = -x_1 \sin \theta + x_2 \cos \theta$ are independent by our hypothesis, we have

$$(4) \quad E(\exp(isy + itz)) = E(\exp(isy)) E(\exp(itz)).$$

Since x_1 and x_2 are independent by our hypothesis, we can represent the both side of (4) by φ_1 and φ_2 :

$$(5) \quad \varphi_1(s \cos \theta - t \sin \theta) \varphi_2(s \sin \theta + t \cos \theta) = \varphi_1(s \cos \theta) \varphi_1(-t \sin \theta) \varphi_2(s \sin \theta) \varphi_2(t \cos \theta).$$

Putting $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\sqrt{2}s, \sqrt{2}t$ instead of s, t in (5) we have

$$(6) \quad \varphi_1(s-t) \varphi_2(s+t) = \varphi_1(s) \varphi_1(-t) \varphi_2(s) \varphi_2(t).$$

Taking $s = t$ or $s = -t$ in (6), we have especially the relations:

$$(7) \quad \varphi_2(2s) = |\varphi_1(s)|^2 \cdot \varphi_2(s)^2, \\ \varphi_1(2s) = |\varphi_2(s)|^2 \cdot |\varphi_1(s)|^2.$$

Now follows from (7)

$$(8) \quad |\varphi_1(s)| = |\varphi_2(s)|$$

Hence we have also from (7) $|\varphi_k(2t)| = |\varphi_k(t)|^4$ ($k=1,2$). Now put $s=rt$ ($r=1,2,\dots$) in (6) we have then $|\varphi_k((r-1)t)| \cdot |\varphi_k((r+1)t)| = |\varphi_k(rt)|^2 \cdot |\varphi_k(t)|^2$. Thus we can prove by the mathematical induction the relation $|\varphi_k(rt)| = |\varphi_k(t)|^{r^2}$ ($r=1,2,\dots$). Take then $t = s/p$, we have

$$(9) \quad |\varphi_k(\lambda s)| = |\varphi_k(s)|^{\lambda^2}$$

for $\lambda = r/p$. This relation holds also for any positive number λ by the continuity of φ_k .

Let us put $s=1$ in (9), we have $|\varphi_k(\lambda)| = |\varphi_k(1)|^{\lambda^2} = \exp(\alpha_k \lambda^2)$, $\alpha_k \leq 0$. By the relation (8) we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$. Hence φ_k has the functional form:

$$\varphi_k(t) = \exp(\alpha t^2 + 2\pi i \theta_k(t)).$$

From $\varphi_k(-t) = \overline{\varphi_k(t)}$ follows $\theta_k(-t) \equiv \theta_k(t) \pmod{1}$. Since we have the relation $\varphi_k(2t) = \varphi_k(t)^2 \varphi_k(-t)$ from (7), (8), $\theta_k(t)$ must satisfy $\theta_k(2t) \equiv 2\theta_k(t) \pmod{1}$. From this follows also $\theta_k(2^r t) \equiv 2^r \theta_k(t) \pmod{1}$. Now choose an irrational number ω and put $\theta_k(\omega)$ $m_k \omega \pmod{1}$. Then we have

$$(10) \quad \theta_k(\lambda) \equiv m_k \lambda \pmod{1}$$

for $\lambda = 2^r \omega$. Since $\theta_k(t)$ is continuous and the relation (10) holds for a dense subset $\{2^r \omega; r=1,2,\dots\}$, (10) holds also for every value λ .