## **By Jyoji HOTTA**

**(Communicated by Y. Komatu)**

**Let E be a normed (not neces sarily complete) linear space and E\* its conjugate space. Then the regularly convex sets in** *ξ\** **are defined by M. Krein and V Smulian as follows: a set K\* C E\* will be called regularly convex if for every**  $f_i \in E^*$  not belonging to  $K^*$ <br>there exists an element  $x \in F$ **such that**

$$
\sup_{f \in K^k} f(x_s) \leq f_s(x_s) \Big|^{1}
$$

**This conception was studied in de tail in their paper, but as they did not consider it on the stand point of weak topology, their be autiful results were mainly re stricted in separable case. There fore we shall intend to simplify some of their results in general case\* After that we shall give the proof of M. Krein and D Milman<sup>f</sup> s theorem on the existence of extreme points by means of Zorn<sup>f</sup> s lemma.<sup>2</sup> )**

**Theorem 1. Every regularly convex set K\* in £\* is convex and weakly closed.**

**Let** *K\** **and satisfy the condition**

 $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

**If** *d* **j •** *f4s.* **were not contained in κ» , there should exist an' element**  $x$ ,  $\epsilon$  **£** such that

$$
\sup_{f \in K^2} f(x_o) < \alpha \, \mathcal{J}(x_o) + \beta \, \mathcal{L}(x_o),
$$

**On the other hand** *?(\*\*)* **and £(\*,) <** *A\*\* fix.) ft* K\* **and hence**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}\n\alpha' g(x_0) + \beta k(x_0) \\
&\leq & (\alpha + \beta) \lim_{\delta \to \infty} f(x_0) = \lim_{\delta \to \infty} f(x_0), \\
&\leq & \alpha \lim_{\delta \to \infty} f(x_0) = \alpha \lim_{\delta \to \infty} f(x_0) = 0.\n\end{array}
$$

**This is a contradiction, and it means j<\* is convex.**

**Next we shall show that K\* is weakly closed. Let** *i9 t* **E\* be a limiting point of K\* in the weak topology and not contained in K\*** **Then we can select an element**  $x \in E$  such that

$$
\sup_{f\in K^{\sharp}}f(x_{0})<\ f_{0}(x_{0}),
$$

**and hence if we choose a positive** number  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfying the con**dition**

$$
f_{\bullet}(x_{\bullet}) = \sup_{f \in K^*} f(x_{\bullet}) > \epsilon,
$$

**Then the weak neighbourhood** *V*(*f<sub>s</sub>*; *x<sub>s</sub>*; *ε*) contains no elements **of K\* » Therefore K\* must be weakly closed.**

**The next theorem which we shall prove in this paper is the inverse of the theorem 1, and as we men tioned above it has already been proved by M. Krein and V. Smulian** when  $E$  is a separable space.<sup>3)</sup>

**For this purpose we shall state the next two lemmas.**

**Lemma 1. Let Fo** *if\** **be a weakly continuous linear functional on E\* Then there exist an element**  $x$ ,  $\epsilon$  **£** such that

$$
F_{\bullet}(f) = f(x_{\bullet})
$$

for all  $f \in E^*$  .

**This lemma has been proved by Banach** *\*)* **making use of regularly closed set when E is separable, and that proof is also applied to general case if we notice to the fact that a linear subspace in E \* is regularly closed** *ίϊ* **and only if it is weakly closed. But we shall give here a direct proof without use or regularly closed set.**

**Prom the assumption { f j f « £\*, I F.ΦJ < i } is an open set in the weak topology contain ing the zero functional o , hen ce there exist a finite number of elements**  $x_i$ ,  $x_2$ , ....,  $x_n$ <br>of  $E$  such that

 $\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \text{ ; } x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \text{ ; } 1 \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \zeta \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f \text{ ; } f \in E^*, \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_s(f) \text{ | } \zeta \text{ : } 1 \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$