## By Kunio AKI.

The present states of affairs in Japan do not assure me whether my efforts are new or not, still I venture to make my report concerning the fol-lowing theorem, the proof of which seems yet to be found in no literature.

Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for a function U(Q), continuous in a given domain  $G_{-}$ , being subharmonic is that, for every point Q in G,

(A) 
$$\frac{\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{m(u; Q; r) - M(u; Q; r)}{\frac{d}{15} \gamma^2} \ge 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{Q};r)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{Q};r)$ denote the integral means of  $\mathcal{U}$  on the surface and over the interior of the sphere around  $\mathcal{Q}$  with radius  $\mathcal{T}$ respectively.

(The left-hand member of (A) stands, as it were, for a kind of the compound form of the Blaschke's and Privalof-f's operators.)

Proof. Necessity. The necessity of the theorem is evident, since for any sphere around  $\mathcal{Q}$  with radius  $\mathcal{T}$  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{Q};\mathcal{T}) \geq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{Q};\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{U}$  being the subharmonic function. subharmonic function.

Sufficiency. Prior to the proof of sufficiency of the theorem, we will begin with the following lemma, writing, for brevity, the expression (A) in the form  $\overline{\Delta}$   $\mathcal{U} \ge 0$ .

Lemma. If a function  $\mathcal{U}$  has the continuous partial derivatives of the second order, we have

 $\overline{\Delta}u = \Delta u$ , (B)

where  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian operator.

Proof of the lemma. The result is obtained by the simple but tedious com-putation using Taylor expansion.

Sufficiency proof of the theorem. This consists of the following three stages:

(i) Evidently  $\overline{\Delta}$  is linear.

(ii) If  $\,\mathcal{U}\,$  takes its maximum value at an interior point  $\,\mathcal{Q}\,$  , we have, at  $Q, \overline{\Delta} u \leq 0$ 

(iii) Consider a sphere  $S_R$  around Q with radius R contained entirely together with its boundary, and let vbe the solution of the Dirichlet pro-blem for  $S_R$  with boundary condition v = u (in this case, v may be ob-tained by the Poisson integral); and hence in particular of the hermonic hence, in particular, v is harmonic in  $S_R$ , i.e.,

 $\Delta N = 0.$ (C)

The function  $\mathcal{U}^{*} = \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}$  vanishes on the surface of  $S_R$ . Considering the function  $\mathcal{U}^{*} + \lambda \mathcal{T}^{*}$  with positive parameter  $\lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the distance from  $\mathcal{Q}$  to a point interior to  $S_R$ , then we have, according to (i),

$$\overline{\Delta}(u^{*}+\lambda \gamma^{2}) = \overline{\Delta} u^{*} + \overline{\Delta}(\lambda \gamma^{2})$$
$$= \overline{\Delta} u + \overline{\Delta}(-v) + \lambda \overline{\Delta} \gamma^{2}$$

and by (B)

$$= \overline{\Delta}u + \Delta(-v) + \lambda \Delta r^{2}$$

$$= \overline{\Delta}u - \Delta v + \lambda \Delta r^{2}$$

$$= \overline{\Delta}v + \delta r^{2}$$

$$> 0, \quad \Delta u \ge 0 \quad \text{by}$$

since  $\lambda >$ hypotheses and  $\Delta v = 0$  by (C).

Combined with the fact mentioned in (ii), this result gives us the follow-ing conclusion:

The function  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{X}} + \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{Z}}$  can take its maximum value on the surface of  $S_{\mathbf{X}}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{X}}$  vanishes on  $S_{\mathbf{X}}$ , we have  $u^* + \lambda r^2 < \lambda R^2$ 

and hence

$$u^* < \lambda (R^2 - r^2).$$

Since  $R^2 - r^2 > 0$  and  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary positive number, we have, for any r with 0 < r < R,

$$u^* \leq 0$$
,

i.e.,

u ≦ v.

But  $\mathcal{N}$  being by definition harmonic,  $\mathcal{U}$  must be subharmonic. This com-pletes the proof of the theorem.

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we have the following conclusion:

A necessary and sufficient condition for the function u being subharmonic in a given domain G, is that the inequality

 $m(u; Q; r) \ge M(u; Q; r)$ 

holds goods for any arbitrarily small r .

Remark 1. Here, by virtue of the consequence mentioned above, we have only to consider the function u mere-

- 11 -