

REMARKS ON A RESULT OF HAYMAN

BY BAO-QIN LI

1. Introduction.

In this paper, we use the usual notation of Nevanlinna theory^[3].

Suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{\lambda_n}$ is a transcendental entire function, where $a_n \neq 0$ ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is arranged in increasing order. Also let $g(z)$ be an arbitrary entire function growing slowly compared with the function $f(z)$, i.e., $T(r, g) = o\{T(r, f)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Following Hayman^[4], if $f(z)$ has finite order, we define

$$\delta_s(g(z), f) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-g(z)}\right)}{T(r, f)}.$$

If $f(z)$ has infinite order, let E be any set in $(1, \infty)$ having finite length. We define

$$\delta_s(g(z), f) = 1 - \sup_E \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \in E} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-g(z)}\right)}{T(r, f)} = \inf_E \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty, r \in E} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-g(z)}\right)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Obviously,

$$\delta(g(z), f) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-g(z)}\right)}{T(r, f)} \leq \delta_s(g(z), f).$$

In particular, when $g(z) \equiv a$ (a is a constant) we get the definition of $\delta_s(a, f)$ defined by Hayman^[4].

Under the above definitions, Hayman^[4] proved

THEOREM A. *Let d_n be the highest common factor of all the numbers $\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m$ for $m \geq n$ and suppose that*

$$d_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $\delta_s(a, f) = 0$ for every finite complex number a .

With the hypotheses of Theorem A, we proved in [2] $\Theta_s(g(z), f) \leq 1/2$ for every function $g(z)$ satisfying $T(r, g) = o\{T(r, f)\}$. Now we further prove

Received July 15, 1987