

GROWTH OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

BY ANAND PRAKASH SINGH

Introduction. If f and g are transcendental entire functions then Clunie [1] proved that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = \infty$. An obvious question arises is, what can be said about the ratio

$$\frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} \quad (1)$$

when $r \rightarrow \infty$? In general by considering $g(z) = e^{e^z}$, and $f(z) = e^z$, we see that the ratio (1) also tends to infinity. However if we put some restriction on the orders of f and g then we can show that the above ratio is bounded above by a finite quantity. Thus the purpose of this paper will be to prove some results dealing with the ratios that are of the form (1). We start with

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite order such that $g(0) = 0$ and $\rho_g < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f$ where ρ, λ denote respectively the order and the lower order for the corresponding functions. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} \leq \rho_f.$$

Note. (i) From the hypothesis it is clear that f must necessarily be transcendental.

(ii) The theorem does not hold true when $\rho_g = \rho_f$, for let $f(z) = e^z$ and $g(z) = e^z - 1$, then $\rho_g = \rho_f = 1$ and $T(r, f(g)) \sim \frac{e^r}{(2\pi^3 r)^{1/2}}$ see [2, 7], so that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f(g))}{T(r, f)} = \pi.$$

(iii) In case $\rho_g > \rho_f$ we shall show that the limit superior will tend to infinity. Thus we shall prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions of finite order with $\rho_g > \rho_f$. Then*

Received April 6, 1984

AMS (MOS) Classification No. 30D05, 30D20.