## A remark on the Kawamata rationality theorem

By Mauro C. BELTRAMETTI and Andrew J. SOMMESE

(Received Dec. 24, 1991) (Revised Aug. 11, 1992)

## Introduction.

Let X be a projective variety with Gorenstein, rational singularities. Let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective morphism with connected fibers from X to a normal projective variety Y. Let L be a  $\varphi$ -ample line bundle and assume that  $K_X$  is not  $\varphi$ -nef. Then the Kawamata rationality theorem states that there is a positive fraction  $\tau = u/v$ , where u, v are positive coprime integers, and such that

a)  $K_x + \tau L$  is  $\varphi$ -nef but not  $\varphi$ -ample;

b)  $u \leq \max_{y \in Y} \{\dim \varphi^{-1}(y)\} + 1.$ 

If *u* takes on the maximal value,  $\max_{y \in Y} \{\dim \varphi^{-1}(y)\} + 1$ , allowed by the Kawamata rationality theorem, then *X* is a  $P^{u-1}$  bundle over *Y* (see (2.2)). Moreover there is an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on *X* such that  $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^u \approx \varphi^* H$  for an ample line bundle *H* on *Y*, and thus  $X = P(\mathcal{E})$  for the ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} = \varphi_* \mathcal{L}$ .

If L is ample and  $K_x$  is not nef, the Kawamata rationality theorem and the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem imply that there is a fraction,  $\tau = u/v$ , with u, v positive coprime integers (called the *nef value* of the pair (X, L)) and a morphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  with connected fibers (called the *nef value morphism* of the pair (X, L)) onto a normal projective variety Y such that

i)  $vK_x + uL \approx \phi^* H$  for an ample line bundle H on Y,

ii)  $u \leq \max_{y \in Y} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(y)\} + 1.$ 

We saw that  $u = \max_{y \in Y} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(y)\} + 1 \text{ implies that } \phi: X \to Y \text{ is very special.}$ In our main result, (1.4.2), we study the structure of the nef value morphism,  $\phi$ , in the case when  $u = \max_{y \in Y} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(y)\}$ . If the nef value morphism is birational we need a smoothness assumption on X.

We would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn for its support. This paper was conceived and worked out during the authors' stay at the Max-Planck-Institut in July, 1991. The second author would like to thank the National Science Foundation (NSF Grant DMS 89-21702) for its support.

We would like to thank the referee for suggesting improvements of Theorem (2.2). The original proof was longer and only worked in the smooth case.