

## Elliptic differential inequalities with applications to harmonic maps

By Andrea Ratto and Marco Rigoli

(Received Aug. 13, 1991)

(Revised April 10, 1992)

### Introduction.

Harmonic maps  $\phi: (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  between Riemannian manifolds are the smooth critical points of the *energy functional*

$$E(\phi) = \int_M e(\phi) dV_M,$$

where  $e(\phi) = (1/2)|d\phi|^2$  is the *energy density* of  $\phi$ . Or, equivalently, the  $C^2$  solutions of the elliptic system

$$(0.1) \quad \text{Trace}_g \nabla d\phi = 0.$$

The left-hand side of (0.1) is the *tension field* of  $\phi$ , denoted  $\tau(\phi)$ ; it is a vector field along  $\phi$ : we refer to the surveys [5], [6] for complete definitions and background.

Since the pioneering work of Eells and Sampson ([7] (1964)), harmonic maps have attracted the interest of both geometers and analysts: during the early stages of the theory, research was focused on maps between compact manifolds. Indeed, in a compact setting a harmonic map provides a strong candidate for a “best map” in a prescribed homotopy class; and a natural generalization of the concept of closed geodesic.

More recently, harmonic maps of non-compact domains have become object of growing interest: as a significant example, we quote the discovery of a new family of harmonic maps  $\phi: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^2$  of rank two almost everywhere; that was obtained by Choi and Treibergs [4], using a version of Ruh-Vilms’ Theorem for constant mean curvature hypersurfaces of Minkowski 3-space. It is natural to view the study of harmonic maps of non-compact domains as a generalization of the theory of harmonic functions  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  on complete Riemannian manifolds [18]; however, we point out two key differences:

- a) a single equation — i.e.,  $\Delta f = 0$  — is replaced by a system — i.e., (0.1).
- b) the curvature of the range plays a role, making system (0.1) non-linear.