

Some class of doubly transitive groups of degree n and order $4q(n-1)n$ where q is an odd number

By Hiroshi KIMURA¹⁾ and Hiroyoshi YAMAKI²⁾

(Received Sept. 30, 1969)

(Revised Nov. 20, 1969)

1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall consider the following situation (*):

(*) A simple group \mathfrak{G} is doubly transitive on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of order $aq(n-1)n$ where $a=2$ or 4 and q is an odd number. The stabilizer \mathfrak{R} of two points in Ω is cyclic and $\mathfrak{R} \cap A^{-1}\mathfrak{R}A = 1$ or \mathfrak{R} for every element A in \mathfrak{G} .

Our purpose is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. In our situation (*) \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to the projective special linear group $PSL(2, 4q+1)$ or $PSL(2, 8q+1)$.

REMARK. This theorem was proved by Ito [9] and Kimura [10] in the case of $q=1$. Thus we assume that $q \geq 3$ in the following.

The problem of characterization of doubly transitive groups by the structure of the stabilizer of two points was presented by Bender [1], Ito [9] and Kimura [11], [12], [13].

NOTATION. The stabilizer of points i, j, \dots, k in \mathfrak{G} is denoted by $\mathfrak{G}_{ij\dots k}$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{G}_{\{ij\dots k\}}$ will denote the stabilizer in \mathfrak{G} of a set $\{i, j, \dots, k\}$ of points. For the subset \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{G} , $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ will denote the set of all the fixed points of \mathfrak{X} . For the elements A, B, \dots of \mathfrak{G} , $\langle A, B, \dots \rangle$ is the subgroup of \mathfrak{G} generated by A, B, \dots and $A \sim B$ means that A is conjugate with B . For a group \mathfrak{B} , $Z(\mathfrak{B})$ and \mathfrak{B}' denote respectively the center of \mathfrak{B} and the commutator subgroup of \mathfrak{B} . If \mathfrak{S} is a 2-group, $\mathcal{Q}_1(\mathfrak{S})$ denote the subgroup of \mathfrak{S} generated by all involutions in \mathfrak{S} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The second author proved our theorem for $a=4$ with an additional condition. The first author found that this additional condition is unnecessary and that the case $a=2$ can be proved in the same way.

1) This work was partially supported by The Sakkokai Foundation.

2) This work was partially supported by The Yukawa fellowship.