

## On the decomposition of lattices over orders

By Hiroaki HIJIKATA

(Received May 10, 1995)

### 0. Introduction.

We shall extend two basic theorems on decomposition of lattices over orders—‘Roiter-Jacobinski Divisibility Theorem’ and ‘Jacobinski-Swan Cancellation Theorem’—to an arbitrary  $R$ -order  $A$  over an arbitrary Dedekind domain  $R$ . The point is that we do not assume the ambient algebra  $A=KA$  to be separable over the quotient field  $K$  of  $R$ .

**0.0.** As for terminology, we mostly follow that of [1] and [2]. However, for a maximal ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , the suffix  $P$  like  $R_P$  always denotes the  $P$ -adic completion rather than the localization.

A left  $A$ -lattice  $L'$  will be called a local direct summand of another  $A$ -lattice  $L$  if  $L'_P$  is a direct summand of  $L_P$  for any maximal ideal  $P$ .

Write  $KL \gg KL'$  if every  $A$ -indecomposable direct summand of  $KL'$  occurs strictly oftener in  $KL$  than in  $KL'$ .

Write  $M \sim L$  if  $L_P \cong M_P$  for any  $P$ .

**THEOREM 1** (Roiter-Jacobinski type Divisibility). *Suppose that  $L'$  is a local direct summand of  $L$ . Then*

- (i)  $L$  has a direct summand  $M'$  such that  $M' \sim L'$ .
- (ii) If  $KL \gg KL'$ , then  $L'$  itself is a direct summand of  $L$ .

**THEOREM 2** (Jacobinski-Swan type Cancellation). *Assume that the  $K$ -algebra  $B=\text{End}_A KL$  has the “strong approximation”. Then the following cancellation law (c) holds.*

- (c) *If  $L'$  is a local direct summand of  $nL=L \oplus L \oplus \cdots \oplus L$  ( $n$ -times), then  $L \oplus L' \cong M \oplus L'$  implies  $L \cong M$ .*

**0.1. Remark on Theorem 1.** (i) is known if  $A$  is separable over  $K$  (cf. [1] 31.12.) (ii) is known if  $A$  is separable over  $K$  and moreover  $K$  is a global field, i.e.,  $K$  is a finite extension of the rational number field  $\mathbb{Q}$  or of the rational function field  $F_q(T)$  (cf. [1] 31.32, [4], [6].)

The current proof of (i) heavily depends on the existence of maximal orders, while the proof of (ii) depends on Jordan-Zassenhaus Theorem.