

L^2 harmonic forms and stability of minimal hypersurfaces

By Shukichi TANNO

(Received Feb. 28, 1994)

(Revised Nov. 25, 1994)

0. Introduction.

The Bernstein conjecture states that any complete minimal graph in E^{m+1} is a hyperplane. This was proved to be true for $m \leq 7$ by Bernstein [2] ($m=2$), De Giorgi [5] ($m=3$), Almgren [1] ($m=4$) and Simons [12] ($m \leq 7$); and false for $m \geq 8$ by Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti [3].

On the other hand, do Carmo and Peng [6] and Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [8] showed that complete orientable and stable minimal surfaces in E^3 are planes. Palmer [10] studied a topological restriction of a complete minimal hypersurface M in E^{m+1} which implies instability of M . This topological restriction is related to the existence of nonzero L^2 harmonic 1-forms by Dodziuk's result [7].

We denote the space of all L^2 harmonic p -forms on a complete orientable Riemannian manifold M by $\mathcal{H}^p(M)$. $\mathcal{H}^p(M)$ consists of p -forms which are closed and coclosed by a theorem of Andreotti and Vesentini. It is well known that $\mathcal{H}^p(E^m) = \{0\}$ for all p ; $0 \leq p \leq m$.

A complete minimal graph in E^{m+1} is minimizing, and any minimizing minimal hypersurface is stable. Therefore, concerning the Bernstein problem we can pose the following problem: *For a complete orientable and stable minimal hypersurface M in E^{m+1} , does $\mathcal{H}^p(M) = \{0\}$ hold for all p ; $0 \leq p \leq m$.*

The case where $m=2$ is trivial by the result of do Carmo and Peng, Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen as stated in the above. A catenoid shows that the assumption of stability in our problem is essential. Here we have the following:

THEOREM A. *Let $M \subset E^{m+1}$ be a complete orientable and stable minimal hypersurface. If $m \leq 4$, then $\mathcal{H}^p(M) = \{0\}$ holds for all p ; $0 \leq p \leq m$.*

Palmer [10] used the norm of an L^2 harmonic 1-form on M to define a variation vector field and proved that a complete orientable minimal hypersurface $M \subset E^{m+1}$ admitting a nontrivial L^2 harmonic 1-form is unstable. So, Theorem A for the case where $m=3$ is due to Palmer [10]. To prove Theorem A it suffices to show the following: Let $M \subset E^5$ be a complete, orientable minimal hypersurface;