J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 40, No. 4, 1988

Homogeneous hypersurfaces in Kähler C-spaces with $b_2=1$

By Kazuhiro KONNO

(Received May 28, 1987)

Introduction.

A compact simply connected homogeneous Kähler manifold is called a Kähler C-space. Recall that a Kähler C-space Y with $b_2(Y)=1$ can be obtained by a possible pair (g, α_r) of a complex simple Lie algebra g and a simple root α_r of g (cf. Section 1 below). Moreover, since $b_2(Y)=1$, the Picard group of Y is isomorphic to Z. We denote its ample generator by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\otimes a}$ by $\mathcal{O}_Y(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a positive integer d, a member of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Y(d)|$ is called a hypersurface of degree d in Y.

We sometimes encounter the phenomena that a certain Kähler C-space can be embedded in another Kähler C-space with $b_2=1$ as a hypersurface. For example, an *n*-dimensional projective space P^n (resp. a complex quadric Q^n) can be embedded in P^{n+1} as a hypersurface of degree 1 (resp. 2). On the other hand, Kimura [7, II] showed that the cohomology group $H^0(T_X)$ vanishes for a smooth hypersurface X in an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type if the degree of X is greater than two. This gives us the feeling that the above phenomena can be completely classified. In fact, we show the following:

MAIN THEOREM. Let Y be a Kähler C-space with $b_2=1$. Then a Kähler C-space X can be embedded as a hypersurface of degree d in Y, if and only if X, Y and d are one of the following (up to isomorphism):

(1) $X = P^n$, $Y = P^{n+1}$ and d = 1.

(2) $X = Q^n$, $Y = P^{n+1}$ and d = 2.

(3) $X = Q^n$, $Y = Q^{n+1}$ and d = 1.

(4) $X = (C_l, \alpha_2), Y = (A_{2l-1}, \alpha_2)$: the grassmannian Grass(2, 2l), and d=1.

(5) $X = (F_4, \alpha_4)$, $Y = (E_6, \alpha_1)$: the irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of type EII, and d=1.

In each of the above five cases, it is known that X can be embedded in Y as a hypersurface of the prescribed degree. The first three are standard and the last two examples (4) and (5) are due to Sakane [14] and Kimura [8], respectively. Thus the proof is reduced to showing the converse.