

Some statement which implies the existence of Ramsey ultrafilters on ω

By Shizuo KAMO

(Received March 1, 1982)

1. Introduction and results.

Throughout this paper, we work in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with choice (ZFC). Let \mathfrak{F} be a filter on A and let f be a function from A to B . $f(\mathfrak{F})$ denotes the filter $\{y \subset B; f^{-1}y \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. \mathfrak{F} is said to be *free* if $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$. \mathfrak{F} is said to be *ample* if there exists an infinite subset A_0 of A such that, for any $x \in \mathfrak{F}$, $A_0 - x$ is finite. \mathfrak{F} is said to be *weakly ample* if, for any free ultrafilter (uf) \mathfrak{U} on ω , there exists a function g from ω to A such that $g(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$. It is trivial that any free, ample filter is weakly ample. For any infinite cardinal κ , we denote by $\text{AN}(\kappa)$ the statement: "any free, weakly ample filter on κ is ample". It is easy to see that, whenever $\kappa \leq \lambda$, $\text{AN}(\lambda)$ implies $\text{AN}(\kappa)$. Puritz proved the following Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1 (Puritz [5]).

- (a) The continuum hypothesis (CH) implies $\text{AN}(c)$, where c denotes 2^ω .
- (b) $\text{AN}(\omega)$ implies that there are P -points on ω .
- (c) $\text{AN}(2^c)$ does not hold.

He asked whether the existence of P -points implies $\text{AN}(\omega)$. This question is answered negatively by Theorem 5 which appears below. By Theorem 1 (a), (c), under the assumption $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$, $\text{AN}(\kappa)$ holds if and only if $\kappa = \omega$ or $\kappa = \omega_1$. Let P be the statement: "any free, κ -generated filter on ω is ample, for all $\kappa < c$ ". Then, the proof of Theorem 1 (a) (in [5; p. 222]) yields a proof of that P implies $\text{AN}(c)$. Since Martin's Axiom (MA) implies P (cf. [4; Theorem 5]), it holds that MA implies $\text{AN}(c)$. By this, Theorem 1 (b) and a result of Shelah that the existence of P -points is unprovable (in ZFC), the negation of CH implies neither $\text{AN}(c)$ nor $\neg \text{AN}(c)$.

We shall consider what cardinals κ satisfy $\text{AN}(\kappa)$ in the cases where $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ fails. Our results are the following Theorems which are proved in Sections 3~6.