J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 34, No. 3, 1982

## Note on $\gamma$ -dimension and products of real projective spaces

By Teiichi KOBAYASHI

(Received Oct. 11, 1980) (Revised Jan. 19, 1981)

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $\alpha$  be the stable class of a vector bundle over a complex X. The  $\gamma$ -dimension, dim<sub>r</sub> $\alpha$ , of  $\alpha$  is defined as follows (cf. [6]):

 $\dim_r \alpha = \sup \{i \in N | \gamma^i(\alpha) \neq 0\},\$ 

where N is the set of positive integers and  $\gamma^i$  is the *i*-th Grothendieck  $\gamma$ -operation (cf. [2]). Let  $\tau_0(M)$  denote the stable class of the tangent bundle  $\tau(M)$  of a differentiable manifold M. H. Suzuki [5] investigated  $\dim_{\gamma}\tau_0(P^m \times P^n)$  and  $\dim_{\gamma}(-\tau_0(P^m \times P^n))$ , where  $P^n$  is the *n*-dimensional real projective space, and applied them to the problem of vector fields on  $P^m \times P^n$  and to the problem of immersions and embeddings of  $P^m \times P^n$  in Euclidean spaces. The purpose of this note is to improve Suzuki's results.

Let  $\varphi(n)$  be the number of integers s such that  $0 < s \le n$  and s = 0, 1, 2 or 4 mod 8, [a] be the integral part of a real number a, and  $\binom{k}{i}$  be a binomial coefficient k!/(k-i)!i!. Define integers  $\delta(n)$  and  $\delta(m, n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \delta(n) &= \max\left\{i > 0 \mid 2^{i-1} \binom{n+1}{i} \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^{\varphi(n)}\right\},\\ \delta(m, n) &= \max\left\{i > 1 \mid 2^{i-2} \left\{ \binom{m+n+2}{i} - \binom{m+1}{i} - \binom{n+1}{i} \right\} \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^{\lceil l/2 \rceil}\right\}, \end{split}$$

where  $l = \min\{m, n\}$ . Then we prove

THEOREM 1.  $\dim_{\gamma} \tau_0(P^m \times P^n) \ge \delta(m, n).$ 

If  $m=n=2^r-2$   $(r\geq 3)$ , then  $\delta(m, n)=2^{r-1}=\delta(n)+1>\delta(n)$ . Therefore Theorem 1 is a partial improvement of [5, (4.2)]. But if  $m=2^r-2$  and  $n\leq 2^{r-1}-2$   $(r\geq 3)$  then  $\delta(m, n)\leq 2^{r-2}<2^{r-1}-1=\delta(m)$  and hence in this case [5, (4.2)] is better than the theorem. Combining [5, (4.2)] and Theorem 1, we obtain

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. C-55408), Ministry of Education.