

Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \bar{A}$

By Mitsuhiro TAKEUCHI

(Received May 21, 1976)

Introduction.

Let A be an R -algebra, where R is a fixed commutative ring. An algebra over A is a pair (U, i) where U is an R -algebra and $i: A \rightarrow U$ an R -algebra map. They form a category. The definition of morphisms is obvious.

Sweedler [1] starts to try to classify algebras over A by their *underlying A -bimodules*. In almost all the chapters he assumes the algebra A is *commutative*. His method is useful for such algebras (U, i) over A as i sends A isomorphically onto the *centralizer* of A in U .

When A is commutative, he defines a product " \times_A " on the category of algebras over A . This product is neither in general associative nor unitary.

$A \times_A$ -bialgebra is a triple (B, Δ, \mathcal{J}) where B is an algebra over A and $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \times_A B$, $\mathcal{J}: B \rightarrow \text{End}_R A$ are maps of algebras over A making some diagrams commute.

When Δ is an isomorphism and \mathcal{J} is injective, he defines \mathcal{E}_B to be the set of isomorphism classes of algebras (U, i) over A such that $U \cong B$ as A -bimodules. He shows that i then maps A isomorphically onto the centralizer in U of A . The product " \times_A " makes \mathcal{E}_B into an abelian monoid with unit $\langle B \rangle$ the class of B .

Let $\mathcal{G}\langle B \rangle$ denote the group of invertible elements in \mathcal{E}_B .

Among other things he proves that if $\langle U \rangle$ the class of U belongs to $\mathcal{G}\langle B \rangle$ then there is a canonical isomorphism of algebras over A

$$\zeta: (U^0 \times_A U)^0 \longrightarrow B$$

with the assumption of the existence of some isomorphism $\mathcal{S}: B \rightarrow (B^0 \times_A B)^0$ of algebras over A , called an "Ess" map. Here we denote by U^0 the *opposite algebra* to U considered as an algebra over A .

Based on this fact, he shows that if A is a simple B -module (via $\mathcal{J}: B \rightarrow \text{End}_R A$), then all algebras (U, i) over A with $\langle U \rangle \in \mathcal{G}\langle B \rangle$ are *simple*. (Exactly, some additional hypothesis on B is needed).

Further, for a \times_A -bialgebra (B, Δ, \mathcal{J}) where Δ is an isomorphism and \mathcal{J} is injective he constructs some *semi-co-simplicial complex* consisting of *commutative*