

On the Euler integral representations of hypergeometric functions in several variables

By Akio HATTORI and Tosihusa KIMURA

(Received Sept. 3, 1971)

(Revised June 8, 1973)

§1. Statement of the problem.

It is well known that the hypergeometric function $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x)$ defined by the series

$$(1.1) \quad F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha, m)(\beta, m)}{(\gamma, m)(1, m)} x^m$$

has the Euler integral representation

$$(1.2) \quad F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \int_0^1 z^{\beta-1}(1-z)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1-xz)^{-\alpha} dz,$$

where (a, k) denotes the factorial function

$$a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)}$$

Series (1.1) is meaningful provided that $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, and then the radius of convergence is one except in the case when either α or β is a non positive integer. On the other hand, integral (1.2) is convergent if

$$(1.3) \quad 0 < \operatorname{Re} \beta < \operatorname{Re} \gamma,$$

and then integral (1.2) is holomorphic with respect to x in $C-[1, \infty)$, C being the set of complex numbers.

It is natural to attempt weakening restriction (1.3) in the integral representation. Indeed, two methods for that are known: method of double contour integrals and that of the finite part for divergent integrals. The former is usually carried out as follows. Suppose that $x \in C-[1, \infty)$. Let a be a point in $C-\{0, 1, 1/x\}$, say lying on the real axis between 0 and 1, and let l_0 and l_1 be loops in the positive direction at a in $C-\{0, 1, 1/x\}$, l_0 encircling only $z=0$ and l_1 encircling only $z=1$. Form the contour C consisting of l_0, l_1, l_0^{-1} and l_1^{-1} in this order:

$$C = l_0 l_1 l_0^{-1} l_1^{-1},$$

l_0^{-1} and l_1^{-1} being the inverse loops of l_0 and l_1 respectively. Then take a