

Invariants of finite abelian groups

By Shizuo ENDO and Takehiko MIYATA

(Received Oct. 21, 1971)

Introduction.

Let k be a field and let G be a finite group. Let V be a (finite dimensional) kG -module, i. e., a representation module of G over k . Then G acts naturally on the quotient field F of the symmetric algebra $S(V)$ of V as k -automorphisms. We denote the field F with this action of G by $k(V)$.

An extension L/k is said to be rational if L is finitely generated and purely transcendental over k .

To simplify our notation, we say that a triple $\langle k, G, V \rangle$ has the property (R) if $k(V)^G/k$ is rational. Especially, if V is the regular representation module of G , i. e., if $V = kG$, then we use $\langle k, G \rangle$ instead of $\langle k, G, V \rangle$.

The following problem is the classical and basic one (e. g. [11]).

Does $\langle k, G, V \rangle$ have the property (R)?

It is well known that the answer to the problem is affirmative in each of the following cases:

- (i) G is the symmetric group, k is any field and $V = kG$.
- (ii) G is an abelian group of exponent e and k is a field whose characteristic does not divide e and which contains a primitive e -th root of unity. (Fisher [5], etc.)
- (iii) G is a p -group and k is a field of characteristic p . (Kuniyoshi [6], etc.)
- (iv) k is a field of characteristic 0 and G is a finite group generated by reflections of a k -module V (Chevalley [2]).

However the problem has been kept open even in the case where G is abelian and k is an algebraic number field.

K. Masuda proved in [7] and [8] that $\langle Q, G \rangle$ has the property (R) when G is a cyclic group of order $n \leq 7$ or $n = 11$, and reduced the problem to the one on integral representations, in case G is a cyclic group of order p . Recently R. G. Swan [15] showed, using the Masuda's result, that $\langle Q, G \rangle$ does not have the property (R) when G is a cyclic group of order $p = 47, 113, 233, \dots$.

In this paper we will refine the Masuda-Swan's method and will give some further consequences on the problem in case G is abelian.