

Existence of digital extensions of semi-modular state charts

By Mitsuhiro HATTORI

(Received May 11, 1970)

(Revised Nov. 7, 1970)

Let J and W be a finite set of indices and the set of non-negative integers, respectively. By W^J we mean the cartesian product of W , with itself $|J|$ times where $|J|$ is the number of elements of J . A semi-modular state chart (V, h) is said to be finite whenever the number of similarity classes of V is finite [7]. Moreover, we say (V, h) is digital if, for arbitrary M and N of V , $h(M) = h(N)$ implies $M \sim N$. A state chart (V^e, h^e) is called a digital extension of (V, h) when (V^e, h^e) is digital and restrictions $V^e|_J$ and $h^e|_J$ are equal to V and h , respectively. Then there exists a binary, distributive and digital extension of (V, h) , if (V, h) is binary, finite and distributive [8]. The principal aim of the present paper is to generalize the above result under the condition of semi-modularity of (V, h) , which is the affirmative solution of one of the fundamental problems proposed by D.E. Muller and W.S. Bartky [1, 2, 3] as a model of asynchronous circuits, and later mathematically reorganized by H. Noguchi [5, 6, 7] as a mathematical system constructed over relations. Terminology of the paper relies on [5, 6, 7, 8].

We prove the following theorem.

MAIN THEOREM. *A finite, binary and semi-modular state chart (V, h) is finitely realizable. In fact, there exists a distributive state chart (D^e, h^e) which induces a binary, semi-modular and digital extension (V^e, h^e) of (V, h) .*

In §1 a special type of extension called a separation is defined and a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of digital extensions is given (Theorem 1.2). Thus in order to prove Main Theorem we have only to show that there exists a separation of (V, h) . However, in this paper we look for a wide class of digital extensions rather than giving a direct proof of Main Theorem. For this purpose, relations between semi-modular subsets and distributive subsets are investigated as follows; if V is semi-modular then $\sigma(V)$ becomes a change diagram, and $\mu(\sigma(V))$ is well defined and $(\mu(\sigma(V)), h)$ is finite if (V, h) is finite. It is to be noted that Corollary 1.13 suggests the idea of the proof of Main Theorem.

In §2 some properties of the induced synthetic relation \sim and v -similarity