

A theorem in the theory of definition

By Nobuyoshi MOTOHASHI

(Received Nov. 6, 1969)

The well-known theorem of Beth on definability can be extended in different directions. One was pursued by Svenonius [1], another by Kueker [2]. By using the extended form of preservation theorems developed in Motohashi [5], Weglorz [4], we shall get an extension of Beth's theorem of a new kind in this paper.

§ 0. Preliminaries

We shall use the ordinary set-theoretical and model-theoretical notations (see [3], [5]). In this paper, we shall be concerned with the first order predicate calculus with equality \simeq , (abbr. by f.p.c.), L, L', \dots , will be used to denote f.p.c. For L , $M(L)$ is the class of all the first order structures related to L .

Let $M = \bigcup_L M(L)$. For $L, L', L \subset L', L \cap L'$ have obvious meanings. L_0 denotes the f.p.c. without logical constants. Therefore $M(L_0)$ is the class of all non empty sets. Let $L \subset L', \mathfrak{A} \in M(L')$, then $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright L$ means the reduct of \mathfrak{A} to L , $|\mathfrak{A}|$ is the universe of \mathfrak{A} , and $\bar{\mathfrak{A}} = |\mathfrak{A}|$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m$ are non logical constants, then $L(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$ is the f.p.c. having $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ as non logical constants in addition to those of L . For $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in M(L)$ and $f \in |\mathfrak{B}|^{|\mathfrak{A}|}$, f is said to be an *embedding* of \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} if f is an injection and the image of \mathfrak{A} by f is the substructure of \mathfrak{B} . For $\mathfrak{A} \in M(L)$, $L(\mathfrak{A})$ means the diagram language of \mathfrak{A} .

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notion of special models (see Morley-Vaught [3]).

§ 1. Main theorem

An operation \mathcal{M} defined on M^2 is said to be a *morphism on models (m.o.m.)* if $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}) \subset |\mathfrak{B}|^{|\mathfrak{A}|}$ for $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in M$.

For *m.o.m.* \mathcal{M} and L , we define $\Delta_L(\mathcal{M})$ by the set of all formulas $F(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathfrak{F}(L)$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \models F[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$ implies $\mathfrak{B} \models F[f(a_0), f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)]$, for any $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in M(L)$, $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in |\mathfrak{A}|^{n+1}$.

DEFINITION. Let \mathcal{M} be a *m.o.m.*