

On doubly transitive permutation groups of degree n and order $4(n-1)n^*$

By Hiroshi KIMURA

(Received May 27, 1968)

§ 1. Introduction.

Doubly transitive permutation groups of degree n and order $2(n-1)n$ were determined by N. Ito ([4]).

The object of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM. *Let Ω be the set of symbols $1, 2, \dots, n$. Let \mathfrak{G} be a doubly transitive group on Ω of order $4(n-1)n$ not containing a regular normal subgroup and let \mathfrak{R} be the stabilizer of the set of symbols 1 and 2. Assume that $\mathfrak{R} \cap G^{-1}\mathfrak{R}G = 1$ or \mathfrak{R} for every element G of \mathfrak{G} . Then we have the following results;*

(I) *If \mathfrak{R} is a cyclic group, then \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to either $PGL(2, 5)$ or $PSL(2, 9)$.*

(II) *If K is an elementary abelian group, then \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$.*

We use the standard notation. $C_{\mathfrak{X}}\mathfrak{X}$ denotes the centralizer of a subset \mathfrak{X} in a group \mathfrak{X} and $N_{\mathfrak{X}}\mathfrak{X}$ stands for the normalizer of \mathfrak{X} in \mathfrak{X} . We denote the number of elements in \mathfrak{X} by $|\mathfrak{X}|$.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem, (I).

1. Let \mathfrak{H} be the stabilizer of the symbol 1. \mathfrak{R} is of order 4 and it is generated by a permutation K whose cyclic structure has the form $(1)(2)\dots$. Since \mathfrak{G} is doubly transitive on Ω , it contains an involution I with the cyclic structure $(12)\dots$. We may assume that I is conjugate to K^2 . Then we have the following decomposition of \mathfrak{G} ;

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H} + \mathfrak{H}I\mathfrak{H}.$$

Since I is contained in $N_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathfrak{R}$, it induces an automorphism of \mathfrak{R} and (i) $\langle I \rangle\mathfrak{R}$ is an abelian 2-group of type $(2, 2^2)$ or (ii) $\langle I \rangle\mathfrak{R}$ is dihedral of order 8. If an element $H'IH$ of a coset $\mathfrak{H}IH$ of \mathfrak{H} is an involution, then $IHH'I = (HH')^{-1}$ is contained in \mathfrak{R} . Hence, in case (i) the coset $\mathfrak{H}IH$ contains just two involutions,
